

**MINUTES
HARRISVILLE CITY COUNCIL
March 10, 2026
363 West Independence Blvd
Harrisville, UT 84404**

Minutes of a regular Harrisville City Council meeting held on March 10th, 2026 at 7:00 P.M. in the Harrisville City Council Chambers, 363 West Independence Blvd., Harrisville, UT.

Present: Mayor Roger Shuman, Council Member Blair Christensen, Council Member Jason Hadley, Council Member Grover Wilhelmsen, Council Member Karen Fawcett, Council Member Greg Montgomery.

Excused: Bryan Fife, Public Works Parks and Recreation Director,

Staff: Jennie Knight, City Administrator, Jack Fogal, City Recorder, Brody Flint, City Attorney, Jill Hunt, City Treasurer, Mark Wilson, Chief of Police, Assistant Chief of Police Nick Taylor,

Visitors: Marcus Keller, Brandon Green, Sam Elder, Kevin Karras, Mike Dalpias, Gayle Dalpias, Jordan Kuemmel, Marvin Farrell, Aaron Wade.

1. Call to Order.

Mayor Shuman called the meeting to order and welcomed all in attendance.

2. Opening Ceremony.

Council Member Hadley opened with the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. Public Comment

Mayor Shuman opened the public comment period.

Kevin Karras commented on the decision in November for the \$620,000 for the sally port and perimeter fence. The interest rate at only 3-4% over 20-plus years will make the total cost for that decision over \$1,000,000. This decision puts future residents and Councils on the hook. The fence is redundant if a sally port is in place. A police officer at a station with a weapon is secure. It has been stated that it is cheaper to build now than in the future. That is not true. When staff asks for an add alternate, please include the total cost including interest.

Mayor Shuman closed the public comment period.

4. Consent Items

a. Approval of Meeting Minutes for February 10, 2026 Council Minutes.

Council Member Montgomery stated he sent Jack Fogal some grammar changes for the minutes. There are two items that need to be addressed before Council. They are textual changes. On page 3 during the discussion on West Harrisville Rd Matt Robertson made a statement about the road being under service level C. Council Member Montgomery stated he questioned whether a context sensitive design was considered. He asked that both of those changes be added to the minutes.

Motion: Council Member Montgomery made a motion to approve the meeting minutes for February 10, 2026 with changes, second by Council Member Hadley.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Wilhelmsen, Yes
Council Member Fawcett, Yes
Council Member Christensen, Yes
Council Member Hadley, Yes
Council Member Montgomery, Yes

The motion passed unanimously.

b. Quarterly Budget Audit and Financial Review.

Jill Hunt stated she will be conducting and reporting quarterly on our budget audit. Our goal is to catch and fix any errors before our final audit and budget. Part of the audit process is making sure we are adhering to our checks and balances. This audit focused on our accounts payable. We went through the process step by step to ensure it is done correctly. She provided some graphs with funds expended and remaining funds. Council Member Montgomery questioned the length of time for this review. Jill Hunt stated this review covers to the end of February. Council Member Montgomery inquired if staff is concerned about the franchise tax from Enbridge. People have been using less gas this winter. Jill Hunt reported we receive revenue regularly from the franchise tax usually month to month. Mayor Shuman inquired how close are we to getting a number for 4-Mile Special Service District for billing. Jill Hunt stated the bills will start going out next month. We bill them for the months that the service is used.

5. Business Items.

a. Public Hearing – Public Hearing for Resolution 26-01; Providing for the creation of Lomond Heights Public Infrastructure District.

Brandon Green with Flagship Homes stated they are seeking to establish a public infrastructure district in the Lomond Heights Subdivision. They currently have several homes under construction. The purpose of the infrastructure district is to have the infrastructure in the development paid for by the new residents. This includes sewer, water, storm drain, lights, trails, and open space. They have been working closely with city staff and engineering to make sure the development is proceeding appropriately. The purpose is to pay for infrastructure in the development. It is an additional tax on residents within that development only. Residents are made aware of the PID before buying the home.

Motion: Council Member Wilhelmsen motioned to open the public hearing for Resolution 26-01; Providing for the creation of Lomond Heights Public Infrastructure District, second by Council Member Fawcett.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Wilhelmsen, Yes
Council Member Fawcett, Yes
Council Member Christensen, Yes
Council Member Hadley, Yes
Council Member Montgomery, Yes

The motion passed unanimously.

Jordan Kuemmel , a resident of North Ogden, stated he is a concerned citizen. He is concerned about the \$20,000,000. He questioned if that is the cap for funding, what studies have been conducted to show the funding is necessary, and what is the turnover point for the board of the PID.

Kevin Karras has similar thoughts. Infrastructure is going to go in regardless. He questioned allowing a developer to levy a property tax on residents. The board sets that tax and they are made up entirely of people picked by the developer. There are a lot of documents thrown in front of people while buying a home.

Motion: Council Member Fawcett motioned to close the public hearing for Resolution 26-01; Providing for the creation of Lomond Heights Infrastructure District, second by Council Member Wilhelmsen.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Wilhelmsen, Yes
Council Member Fawcett, Yes
Council Member Christensen, Yes
Council Member Hadley, Yes
Council Member Montgomery, Yes

The motion passed unanimously.

b. Discussion/possible action to adopt Resolution 26-01; Providing for the creation of Lomond Heights Infrastructure District.

Council Member Montgomery appreciates that information provided by Brandon Green. He provided a map and asked if this is the approved map. He inquired specifically about the excluded development area. Jennie Knight stated this area has been listed as an excluded development area on the map due to floodplain issues. Council Member Montgomery inquired about calculations regarding parks. If the excluded area becomes a park, does it count toward the required park space. Brandon Green stated this space could become a public park that would have to be dedicated to a public entity. Council Member Montgomery inquired about the other parks and amenities. Brandon Green stated there will be other parks maintained by the HOA. The 8 or 9 acres of parks does not include that property. Council Member Montgomery inquired if the excluded area is in the FEMA floodplain. Brandon Green stated it is within a FEMA floodplain. We looked at that and decided it does not make sense to develop. Council Member Montgomery inquired one way or another; it is going to be open space. Brandon Green stated the PID would affect amenities in that area. Council Member Fawcett inquired when you purchased the development were you planning on a PID. Brandon Green stated the original developer was working towards a PID. That was in the original plan. Council Member Christensen questioned what amenities would be provided if a PID was granted. Brandon Green stated the 9-acre park would be developed, more trails, cameras at parks, and pickleball courts. Council Member Christensen inquired how quickly would you be able to build out without the PID. Brandon Green stated it would be slower without the PID. If we limit it to phases it would be much slower. We are getting ready to finish the main road by the beginning of the summer in phase 2D. We will have to slow down development without the PID. Council Member Montgomery questioned what does the citizen of Harrisville gain by approving a PID. Marcus

Keller reported property tax is a sensitive subject. This project is probably the only PID that makes sense in the city. He provided a sheet with evaluations from the units in the development to sell at. This project would bring in approximately \$184,000,000 in taxable value. This is a 26% increase in taxable value in the city. This equates to approximately \$282,000 in property tax revenue annually. This is increasing the size of the pie to hopefully drive down the total tax levy down. If retail commercial is brought in as well it will benefit the city. Council Member Montgomery asked if they could explain how the board transitions. Aaron Wade with Gilmore Bell the Bond Council for the PID explained PIDs are required to transition from the developer to registered voters. The governing document lists milestones for transition at 50%, 75%, and 90%. The request has been adjusted to just 1 PID instead of 2. The initial board terms are 6 years and 4 years. If milestones have been met the residents would vote to fill the new seats. The goal is to buildout and let the residents fill the board positions. Mayor Shuman inquired about the commercial element in the PID. Aaron Wade stated it would apply to the commercial as well. This project is mostly residential so the board members would need to be filled by residents. Council Member Wilhelmsen inquired about the comparison made last month about the home value outgaining the PID tax rate. Marcus Keller stated the home will always sell at market price. A lot of the times the market price is not the sticker price. The home will sell for what a person can afford to pay. When someone sells in 5 years historically based on averages regardless of the PID more equity is raised vs waiting. It has been historically better to buy the home sooner than wait. Council Member Wilhelmsen is concerned about the PID fee, HOA fee, and mortgage. He questioned how it is balanced in comparison to the market. How is the transition going to go switching from developer run to resident run. Marcus Keller stated he likes the idea in governing document. Once the 90% buildout is done the developer has no say. If the residents want to refinance the bond to lower payments they can. Council Member Hadley inquired why not do 1 2-year term and 2 4-year term. The developer would still have control but shorter terms. Sam Elder with D.A. Davidson stated the idea of the board transition time frame is the standard in the state for PIDs. The intent is for the developer to maintain control while building out the project. Most of the bonds have a 5-year call protection. The board cannot refinance until after that call period. There is a maximum tax rate of 5 mills for the PID. The proposed plan is \$7,000,000-\$8,000,000 not the \$20,000,000 max. They asked for that amount for flexibility. There is a lot of risk to the bond holder. Once the project is built out it becomes similar to a mini city. It is more credit worthy with 600 built out homes with property owners. The payments can be lowered through refinancing due to the increase in credit worthiness. Council Member Montgomery inquired has a line item by line-item breakdown been provided. Sam Elder stated yes there have been studies done with breakdowns. The estimate was approximately \$6,000,000. Council Member Montgomery stated the 5 mills is slightly less than the Weber School District tax rate. Would it be more beneficial to lower the mil levy. Sam Elder stated we looked at other rates and this is the amount that the market can bear. State law allows for up to 15 mills. A home owner could not bear that. Council Member Hadley stated if we are going to be significantly less than \$20,000,000 why not lower the cap. Sam Elder stated market studies have not been completed yet. Their current studies show they would need \$6,000,000. This could increase based on those studies. Council Member Hadley inquired is the \$20,000,000 a hard cap. Sam Elder stated that is the hard cap. If they need more, they would need to come back to the city. Council Member Hadley questioned if there is a cap on the interest rate of the bonds. Sam Elder stated in the governing document it does not have a limit but it could be outlined a rate with basis points. The range of the bond is roughly 6.25%. Council Member Wilhelmsen asked how the open areas relate to the city. He questioned who will take care of it, what will go into these amenities, and will the public have access to the amenities. Brandon Green stated the 8 or 9 acres will be open space regardless. The goal is to provide amenities to the city. We would need to have some discussion with the city to decide what would go into that

park. If the PID is granted they can do items like pickleball courts. He does not know what the cost for those items would be at this point. Council Member Wilhelmsen stated we need amenities to keep residents in the city. An open field can be a wetland area with no development at all. Sam Elder stated if you have to layer in an additional tax you will need to point to something. You will need to prove to the homeowner looking to buy that the cost of the PID provides value. The dollars are going to applied to approved projects in the governing documents. The goal of PIDs is to create better master plan communities. They were created for large developments that need large parks and amenities. Mayor Shuman inquired about PID 2 on the map. Sam Elder stated we originally asked for 2 PIDs. This was to assist with future refinancing of the bonds. Some might be hesitant to refinance the bond for 600 homes but be more willing to do that for 300 homes. Council Member Christensen inquired if the PID is granted you will buildout the 9-acre park. Sam Elder stated any of these improvements will need to meet your building standards. Mayor Shuman inquired about the wetlands area in the development. Brandon Green stated they keep hearing people want connectivity. They have a rough proposal for pickleball courts, a walking path, bathrooms, playground equipment. The benefit to the PID is they can build these faster. This will be an amenity that will be installed before most of the residents have moved into the development. Due to the size and scope of the development a PID would be beneficial to the project. Mayor Shuman stated he was envisioning a field with cattails and weeds. Brandon Green stated if the PID is granted they would do more for the park. If the PID is not granted they would not be able to do as much. Council Member Montgomery inquired would we even need to accept the park. Sam Elder stated they would have to meet city standards. The purpose of the PID is not to maintain infrastructure. Council Member Montgomery inquired so if we approve the PID we are approving a park in that location. Sam Elder stated no it would still need approval from the city. Council Member Wilhelmsen inquired will we have issues with wetlands in this area if it's turned into a park. Brandon Green stated it is a FEMA floodplain. This area is not historically wet unless it's raining. Council Member Wilhelmsen inquired is this a retention pond. Brandon Green stated no it is not a retention pond. Council Member Montgomery inquired about a development being worked on by Nelson Homes between Plain City and Farr West, and if it is a PID. Sam Elder stated that development is not a PID. We analyzed if the project would benefit from a PID, but private funding was sufficient. Mayor Shuman stated let's bring this back to the discussion for this development. Council Member Fawcett inquired is there a reason not to accept the park. Council Member Montgomery stated there are two things relevant to this. The first is are we going to be obligated to accept this park and two is whether this location is best serves the residents of the city. We would need to factor in distance to other facilities. Council Member Fawcett questioned within the context of this discussion is it relevant to look at that. This will have over 600 homes they will need recreation areas. Mayor Shuman stated there are some benefits to the PID. From the cities perspective it is a benefit to bring in houses quicker. There are some negative as well. Residents in the development will have a slightly higher property tax rate. We do need to keep in mind the developer is taking on a lot of risk. By proposing a 5 mil levy they are not getting out scot-free. The benefit Marcus Keller discussed with being able to purchase a home sooner. Council Member Fawcett stated she has been mulling the positives and negatives of the PID. This is a hard balance. She feels for this particular it may be the right thing to do. Council Member Montgomery sees this as a discussion of long-term vs short-term. This can be an accelerant to make development happen quicker. Will this benefit us in the short term and hurt us in the long term. He is not sure. He is concerned about new residents paying double taxes. They will pay the PID fee plus impact fees on the home. The city has already given some benefits like smaller road widths and park renovations for higher density. He questioned if this is an undue burden on the residents.

Motion: Council Member Montgomery made a motion to deny Resolution 26-01; Providing for the creation of Lomond Heights Infrastructure District. The motion failed for lack of a second

Motion: Council Member Wilhelmsen made a motion to adopt Resolution 26-01; Providing for the creation of Lomond Heights Infrastructure District, second by Council Member Fawcett.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Wilhelmsen, Yes
Council Member Fawcett, Yes
Council Member Christensen, Yes
Council Member Hadley, No
Council Member Montgomery, No

The motion passed 3-2.

c. Discussion/possible action to adopt Resolution 26-02; A resolution approving an interlocal agreement with Weber County for animal control services.

Jennie Knight explained the interlocal agreement was included in the packet. The current contract with the county expired a while ago. This contract does not include the bond which we are under obligation to pay. Mayor Shuman inquired about the bond. Jennie Knight stated this contract is for services not the bond related to the animal control facility. Council Member Hadley inquired about concerns brought up during past meetings. Jennie Knight stated she requested the data from the county and they did not provide it. Staff gathered the data they could about animal control. We pulled dispatch calls from 2022-2025. Weber County animal control responded to 494 calls during that time frame. 37 were after hours calls and 32 weekend calls. The Harrisville Police Department responded to 255 calls, 112 were after hours and 99 weekend calls. Our police department is responding to most after hour and weekend calls. The new contract covers business hours not after hours or weekends, unless deemed as an emergency. Our code enforcement officer has been working in the role since April of 2025. He has 18 to 20 calls he is working on. The least number of hours per animal complaint is 5 hours. He currently has a case that is over 10 hours. Animal control services does not include code enforcement hours. Council Member Montgomery inquired about the cost. Jennie Knight stated animal control services cost approximately \$22,000 a year. Mayor Shuman stated it is based on residents and call volume. Council Member Fawcett stated she had a case after hours and she was unable to get animal control to respond. Our police department responded and took care of it. Council Member Wilhelmsen summarized the county does not have the staff or facilities to take care of the calls. Jennie Knight stated they have the facility but not the staffing. She is pleased to see business hours listed in the contract. Our numbers are showing they are responding to calls within business hours. Council Member Hadley stated by his calculations we are doing approximately 30% of the calls. Jennie Knight stated she does not have the percentages in front of her. We are taking care of most of the after hour and weekend calls. Council Member Wilhelmsen stated we are performing a service ourselves and paying them to do it as well. Mayor Shuman stated the difficult part is if we do not approve this what will we do to take those other calls. Council Member Hadley questioned can we renegotiate with the county. Can we bill them back for calls answered. Council Member Fawcett stated it is difficult because the county is under cost constraints as well. Council Member Hadley inquired is the

cost set for the full 5-year contract or does it fluctuate year-to-year. Jennie Knight stated it fluctuates year-to-year. Mayor Shuman stated he has a meeting with the county commissioners; he can bring it up during that meeting if Council would like. Council Member Hadley inquired how soon does this need to be passed. Jennie Knight stated it has been expired for years. Council Member Wilhelmsen inquired what does the department do with the animals. Chief Wilson reported the officer takes the animal to the shelter. Mayor Shuman inquired are we under obligation to pay for the bond. Brody Flint stated you are not under an obligation to pay it but there is a process if we are no longer going to utilize those services. Council Member Hadley stated he does not see a pressing need to enter into this agreement. He questioned if we can go year-to-year until we can decide what we want to do. It's better to be in a contract we can walk away from. Council Member Fawcett inquired how would we fulfill this service. Council Member Hadley stated we could look at providing this service ourselves. Brody Flint stated the county bond expires in 2029. They want to keep cities involved with their services. Mayor Shuman stated he can inquire with county commissioners to see if they will come present information during Council Meeting.

Motion: Council Member Hadley made a motion to table Resolution 26-02; a resolution approving an interlocal agreement with Weber County for animal control services, second by Council Member Wilhelmsen.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

- Council Member Wilhelmsen, Yes
- Council Member Fawcett, Yes
- Council Member Christensen, Yes
- Council Member Hadley, Yes
- Council Member Montgomery, Yes

The motion passed unanimously.

d. Discussion/possible action to adopt Resolution 26-03; approving signers for Bank of Utah and seized assets savings account.

Jill Hunt stated we need a resolution for Bank of Utah. There will be 3 signers who are Jill Hunt, Jackie VanMeeteren, and Jennie Knight. This is correcting titles for the signers. It also adds the new seized assets savings account for the police department.

Motion: Council Member Hadley motioned to adopt Resolution 26-03; approving signers for Bank of Utah and seized assets savings account, second by Council Member Fawcett.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

- Council Member Wilhelmsen, Yes
- Council Member Fawcett, Yes
- Council Member Christensen, Yes
- Council Member Hadley, Yes
- Council Member Montgomery, Yes

The motion passed unanimously.

e. Discussion/possible action to approve City Hall/Public Safety building perimeter fence.

Jennie Knight reminded Council this item was tabled during the February Council Meeting. We have gotten costs for alternate fencing options. The first option is a black vinyl chain link fence the total is \$15,085. The second option is a 6 ft tall quantum fence style in black composite wood. It is similar to a vinyl fencing. The total for that is \$17,970. The original proposal is the concrete fence discussed last month. Council Member Fawcett inquired about the cost for that fence. Council Member Hadley inquired is this over the original \$620,000 approved in November. Jennie Knight stated this is in place of the precast fence. The front gate will stay the same to match public works. Council Member Wilhelmsen inquired will these fences still provide the necessary security and privacy. Jennie Knight stated the chain link fence has barbed wire on the top. Council Member Fawcett stated the slats in the chain link fence are smaller in our area due to the wind. She inquired would the composite fence have maintenance issues in the wind as well. Chief Wilson stated we would probably have some issues. Mayor Shuman asked for clarification about the original cost of the perimeter fence. The sally port was added which came in cheaper than planned. The original bid did not have the fence. The estimate last month was \$82,000 for the concrete fence. We would use the savings from the sally port to help cover this amount bringing it to \$47,000. If we do one of these options, are we under the originally approved cost. Jennie Knight stated it could be. There is not enough savings in the sally port to cover the south portion of the fence, gate and north portion of the fence. Mayor Shuman inquired does the \$17,000 cost cover the fence by the gate or just the north portion. Jennie Knight stated it only covers the north portion. Council Member Hadley inquired about the cost of the gate. Jennie Knight stated she does not have the cost breakdown for the gate. She has the combined cost. Mayor Shuman stated he would guess the gate is at least \$35,000. Council Member Fawcett stated we need to have safety of the public and officers as number 1. Chain link does not always hold up. The slats blow out and need to be replaced. The vinyl would be a perpetual cost to maintain. Mayor Shuman inquired do other facilities have fenced in areas as well. He questioned where North Ogden's is. Assistant Chief Taylor stated it is on the south side of their building by the sally port. Council Member Fawcett stated she does not believe the sally port eliminates the need for a fence. Council Member Montgomery inquired how far back the fence would go and what would be included in the fenced in area. Jennie Knight stated right now we have matched the fence to the parking lot and Public Works fence. Mayor Shuman inquired will there be a gate between the Public Works building and the new building. Jennie Knight stated if it is fenced in there would be no gate. If there is no fence we would need a gate. Council Member Montgomery stated he knows a fence is needed. It's a balance of function and cost. Could we put trees or landscaping in front of the fence to deter people from climbing the fence. Jennie Knight stated she does not have numbers for that right now. It would be an increased cost. Council Member Christensen inquired if this fence is the metal like the front of the public works. Jennie Knight stated this is concrete to match the north side fence for Public Works. Mayor Shuman inquired about the height of the Public Works building fence. Jennie Knight stated Public Works is 5ft this is 6ft. Council Member Wilhelmsen inquired is 6ft enough of a deterrent. Chief Wilson stated if someone wants to, they will figure it out no matter what. Council Member Wilhelmsen stated he feels if we are going to build the fence let's build it right the first time. Council Member Christensen inquired about the cost difference between the concrete and chain link. Jennie Knight stated the concrete fence is \$35,000, the chain link is \$15,000, the composite is \$17,000.

Motion: Council Member Christensen motioned to approve City Hall/Public Safety building perimeter fence with concrete fence, second by Council Member Fawcett.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Wilhelmsen, Yes
Council Member Fawcett, Yes
Council Member Christensen, Yes
Council Member Hadley, Yes
Council Member Montgomery, Yes

The motion passed unanimously.

f. Discussion/possible action to approve change order for City Hall/Public Safety building exterior cladding.

Jennie Knight explained this came to our attention during our last OAC meeting. The manufacturer let the contractor know the cost for exterior cladding increased. The cost increase is \$30,972.48. Staff is recommending this money be pulled from the contingency that was already set aside for the project. Council Member Hadley inquired what is the material for the exterior of the building. Jennie Knight stated it is called Petrarch panels which is similar to the Public Works building. The are lightweight cement panels. The warranty for them is 50 years. Mayor Shuman inquired who covers the warranty. Jennie Knight stated if it is a material issue it is covered by the manufacturer.

Motion: Council Member Fawcett motioned to approve change order for City Hall/Public Safety building exterior cladding, second by Council Member Wilhelmsen.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Wilhelmsen, Yes
Council Member Fawcett, Yes
Council Member Christensen, Yes
Council Member Hadley, Yes
Council Member Montgomery, Yes

The motion passed unanimously.

Council Member Wilhelmsen left the meeting.

g. Discussion/possible action to approve purchase of 2 police vehicles.

Chief Wilson stated he would like permission to pre-order vehicles for next year. This allows them to pay the rates for 2026 vehicles not 2027. This saved them about \$1,000 last year per vehicle. Council Member Montgomery inquired is this already in the budget. Jill Hunt stated no it is next year's budget. Chief Wilson stated it is a 5-year rotation for vehicles.

Motion: Council Member Fawcett motioned to approve the purchase of 2 police vehicles, second by Council Member Christensen.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Wilhelmsen, Excused
Council Member Fawcett, Yes
Council Member Christensen, Yes

Council Member Hadley, Yes
Council Member Montgomery, Yes

The motion passed unanimously.

h. Discussion/possible action to surplus equipment.

Jennie Knight explained Director Fife is at a conference this week. We acquired some conduit from the Public Works project. We have a purchaser who has made an offer. The conduit has some sun damage.

Motion: Council Member Hadley motioned to approve the surplus of equipment, second by Council Member Fawcett.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Wilhelmsen, Excused
Council Member Fawcett, Yes
Council Member Christensen, Yes
Council Member Hadley, Yes
Council Member Montgomery, Yes

The motion passed unanimously.

i. Parks Discussion

Jennie Knight stated this is the annual parks discussion. Staff is seeking Council's direction for park bathrooms. We did not receive grant funding for cameras in the parks. We are still seeking other opportunities. We currently provide port-a-potties. We will need to meet some SWPPP issues if we keep the port-a-potties. If restrooms are opened SWPPP will not need to be addressed. We have had vandalism of signs and drinking fountains this year. There has also been concern about e-bike use. We want to make sure we include everything we want on park policy before ordering new signs. Mayor Shuman stated the e-motorcycles have become a much bigger problem in cities in our area as well. Kids are riding them through parks tearing them up. This is upsetting as a resident and Mayor that we are spending \$8,000 a year on port-a-potties, but if we open the bathrooms, we spend thousands every time they are vandalized. Security cameras cost a lot of money; the last bid was approximately \$28,000. This is a catch-22. If we do not have an ordinance regarding electric motorcycles in the park the police are limited on their response. Chief Wilson stated the police can try to monitor it but they are limited. An ordinance would be a benefit for e-bikes. Signs might help but an ordinance would be a bigger benefit. Mayor Shuman stated he is going to include it in the newsletter next month asking residents to help take responsibility. If they see something let us know. Council Member Hadley stated he believes e-bikes should not be at parks or sidewalks. He had someone outside his office zooming down the sidewalk. A car almost hit him because he could not see the scooter zooming through. Council Member Fawcett stated maybe we could think about adding a skate park or someplace where they can be used appropriately. The main question today is about the port-a-potties. She believes we should keep them. Council Member Hadley inquired is there a benefit to the police trailers with cameras. Chief Wilson stated they can be but they are not a fix all. Brody Flint stated whatever the quote is for cameras double it. If you want the cameras to provide evidence in court you will need better cameras. Council Member Fawcett stated cameras and signs will deter rule followers. People with bad intentions will do it regardless. Jennie Knight stated there is a large upfront cost as well as long term maintenance

issues. If we do keep the port-a-potties we need to make adjustments which can be self-performed. Council Member Montgomery inquired how much do we pay a month for the port-a-potties. Jill hunt stated it fluctuates based on the number of units provided. Council Member Montgomery inquired is there a health regulation that requires a restroom facility in the park. Jennie Knight stated not that she is aware of. The first year they did not provide port-a-potties there were a lot of negative comments. Due to that feedback, they provided the port-a-potties. We have noticed neighboring cities are providing port-a-potties as well. We have gotten a lot of comments from families with young children who cannot make it home. Mayor Shuman stated we have hours on the restrooms correct. Jennie Knight stated that is correct. The last time we had vandalism it was about \$6,000 to fix and it was minor vandalism. Brody Flint stated another city he works with spent \$23,000 last year on one vandalism event. Jennie Knight stated we have had toilets broken, sinks broken, faucets taped open, spray painted and more. Mayor Shuman inquired do we have someone who can open them at 6 am and close them at 10 pm. Jennie Knight stated the parks team can open them in the morning and officers close them at night. Council Member Hadley stated in other areas of the country you have to pay to use the restroom. Council Member Fawcett stated that could create a large overhaul. Mayor Shuman summarized the discussion we are keeping the port-a-potties. Council agreed.

j. Arbor Day Proclamation.

Jennie Knight stated we are proposing a tree planting event on April 25th to celebrate Arbor Day. This will be paid for by the forestry line item. Council Member Montgomery inquired how many trees will be planted and has the community been consulted on where to plant the trees. Mayor Shuman stated Kevin Wilbur the Parks Lead has been planning this event. He has been getting the trees and consulting with residents at the park. Council Member Montgomery inquired is this at the main park. Mayor Shuman answered affirmatively. There are also some trees and foliage they are cleaning up. Jennie Knight clarified the new trees will not be near the detention basin.

k. Discussion/possible action to purchase Utah Rivers Council Rain Barrel Program.

Council Member Montgomery reported he has been speaking to the Northern Utah Representative about this program. The state changed laws approximately 11 years ago, allowing residents to collect rain water. This water can be used to water plants and gardens, as well as reduce chemicals in our storm water system. The retail value for these barrels is \$150. Under the program you can order them for \$80, as a city we can get them for \$60. The requirement is the community orders 100 barrels. We would not be under any obligation to pay for the barrels that are not picked up. This month we received a letter in our water bill making suggestions on how to reduce our water waste. At WACOG the idea was put out that the cities could go through the county if they could not order 100 barrels. Mayor Shuman stated his understanding was you could order the amount you want. The downside was there were 10 participants throughout the county. His immediate knee jerk reaction was he does not want to pay \$2,800 on barrels when we have other expenses, we need to take care of. Council Member Montgomery stated other cities in the county sell out of barrels in a day and a half. Mayor Shuman stated we could put it in our newsletter telling residents they could buy them for \$80. Council Member Montgomery stated he believes this is a good way to be proactive in water conservation. Council Member Fawcett stated putting it in the newsletter this year would be a great idea. This is an item we could consider adding to the budget next year. Council agreed.

I. Rules of Procedure Discussion.

Council Member Montgomery stated this is a follow-up to our January meeting. He has reviewed the current rules and procedures policy. Our policy states the public can speak in any

item at the discretion of the presiding officer. This language makes him nervous. North Ogden states that any Council Member can declare a public hearing and the item is tabled a month until the public hearing. He reviewed policies from Farr West and Ogden as well. As he campaigned, he heard the same message repeatedly, comment is given after decisions are made. For good decision-making public input is needed. The public can provide us input we may not have thought about. How do we get to have the discussion when we all hear the same things. He is recommending changes to the policy. There are public hearings held at the Planning Commission level that are not done at the Council level. Are there other items that we can increase public participation on. He suggests we make some changes listing what items we would take public input on. Brody Flint reported we can look at the policy. This is an interesting topic, which person is going to say we don't want public comment. There are some state laws that govern when a public hearing can be taken. If the public is saying they cannot communicate with the city it is just not true. He has multiple phone numbers, emails, Facebook and more. There is case law reflecting if you call a public hearing, you may be showing bias for or against an applicant. The law says we need a motion by Council a second and a majority vote. We often talk about public comment but do not talk about the reality. Too often you do not get public comment you get the mob comment. Public comment is part of the process and is valuable when done appropriately. We run our government on the principal that you are an elected official. He is happy to work on an updated policy. There are some very specific requirements for land use changes that Council cannot alter due to state law. Mayor Shuman inquired does Council want Brody Flint to review it and update the policy. Council Member Fawcett recommended tabling this item until next month and to get more information.

6. Mayor/Council Follow-up

a. Economic Development Partnership Assignment

Mayor Shuman reported he wants to participate in an economic development partnership with North Ogden and Pleasant View. He inquired if any Council Members would like to volunteer. Council Member Hadley volunteered to be on the economic development partnership. Council approved his appointment.

b. Ogden Pioneer Days Parade Float Request

Mayor Shuman stated at WACOG Ogden Pioneer Days representatives asked cities if they would be willing to put together a float for the parade. There will be a cost involved. We do not need a decision tonight. He wants Council to think about what they would like to do.

Council Member Hadley reported on the America250 Committee. Their main goal is dates, so people can put it on the calendar. They want to tie it into events already going on in the city. There was the thought to turn the July movie in the park into an America250 weekend. We would have a kickoff at the movie in the park. We would have some educational activities learning about the founding of our country. These are not set in stone ideas; they are open to changes. The committee liked the idea of having a food truck event during the movie at the park. They would like to move the fireworks from the Fall Festival to this event. Jennie Knight stated we can move the fireworks if Council would like.

Jennie Knight reported for Director Fife. She asked for Councils permission to continue with the June movie in the park. Mayor Shuman inquired on the cost of the event. Jennie Knight stated it depends on the movie. Mayor Shuman inquired are the police at the event. Chief Wilson stated we have a duty car check on the event.

Jennie Knight stated we have come back with dates for a work session for the budget. The dates we can do are March 31st, April 7th or April 14th before the Council meeting. We did receive or re-certification for our Healthy Utah Designation. Council agreed March 31st works best for the work session at 7:00 PM.

Chief Wilson stated we shut down two massage businesses last month. One was business license related and one was sexually explicit. This was a multi jurisdiction operation. The department is working on their autism BBQ. We had the first active shooter training last month. Assistant Chief Taylor is working on getting a speaker to report to Council about our trainings.

Council Member Montgomery inquired if Brody Flint has any follow up to the old zoning for the R3 and R6 zone. Brody Flint stated the code reverted to an older code we need to research records.

7. Adjournment

Motion: Council Member Fawcett motioned to adjourn the meeting, second by Council Member Christensen.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Wilhelmsen, Excused
Council Member Fawcett, Yes
Council Member Christensen, Yes
Council Member Hadley, Yes
Council Member Montgomery, Yes

The motion passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 9:56 P.M.

ATTEST:



Jack Fogal
City Recorder





Roger Shuman
Mayor