

HARRISVILLE CITY

363 W. Independence Blvd · Harrisville, Utah 84404 · 801-782-4100 www.cityofharrisville.com Michelle Tait COUNCIL MEMBERS: Grover Wilhelmsen Steve Weiss

MAYOR:

Steve Weiss Blair Christensen Max Jackson Karen Fawcett

CITY COUNCIL AGENDA August 13<sup>th</sup>, 2024 <u>Zoom Meeting Link</u> Meeting ID: 881 9891 4586

Passcode: 964820

### 7:00 PM City Council Meeting

Presiding: Mayor Michelle Tait Mayor Pro Tem: Steve Weiss

1. Call to Order [Mayor Tait]

# 2. Opening

- a. Pledge of Allegiance [Council Member Wilhelmsen]
- 3. Consent Items
  - **a.** Approval of meeting minutes for July 9<sup>th</sup>, 2024, and August 6<sup>th</sup>, 2024 as presented.

# 4. Appointment of Planning Commissioners

- **a.** Advice and consent to ratify Mayor's appointments of two Planning Commissioners.
- 5. Oath Of Office
  - a. Oath of Office for Linda Quispe. [Jackie VanMeeteren]

# 6. Business Items

- a. Youth City Council Updates [Hunter Ferguson]
- b. Discussion/possible action to consider Resolution 24-12; a resolution providing for the holding of a Bond Election in the City. [Jennie Knight]
- c. Fiscal Year 2024 Budget Discussion. [Jennie Knight]
- **d.** Discussion/possible action to adopt Resolution 24-11; a resolution adopting the Fiscal Year 2024 Final Budget for Harrisville City, Harrisville Community Reinvestment Agency, and Four Mile Special Service District. [Jennie Knight]
- e. Discussion/possible action to surplus the Admin Vehicle. [Jennie Knight]
- 7. Public Comment (3 Minute Maximum)
- 8. Mayor/Council Follow-up
- 9. Adjournment

The foregoing City Council agenda was posted and can be viewed at City Hall, on the City's website <u>www.cityofharrisville.com</u>, and at the Utah Public Notice Website at <u>http://pmn.utah.gov</u>. Notice of this meeting has also been duly provided as required by law.

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Harrisville will make reasonable accommodations for participation in the meeting. Requests for assistance may be made by contacting the City Recorder at (801) 782-4100, at least three working days before the meeting.

Posted: By: Jack Fogal, City Recorder.

#### MINUTES HARRISVILLE CITY COUNCIL AND OPEN HOUSE July 9, 2024 363 West Independence Blvd Harrisville, UT 84404

Minutes of a Harrisville City Council Open House held on July 9<sup>th</sup>, 2024 at 6:00 P.M. in the Harrisville City Council Chambers, 363 West Independence Blvd., Harrisville, UT.

- **Present:** Mayor Michelle Tait, Council Member Karen Fawcett, Council Member Grover Wilhelmsen, Council Member Blair Christensen, Council Member Max Jackson.
- **Excused:** Council Member Steve Weiss.
- Staff: Jennie Knight, City Administrator, Brody Flint, City Attorney, Justin Shinsel, Public Works Director, Jack Fogal, City Recorder, Mark Wilson, Chief of Police, Bryan Fife, Parks and Recreation Director, Jessica Hardy, Finance Director, Seargent Nick Taylor.
- Visitors: Arnold Tait, Marcus Keller, Brandon Johnson, Roger Shuman, Joan Bairdland, Frances Hood, Steve Hood, Kim Engelby, Eric Engelby, Kevin Karras, Michelle Singleton, Gary Singleton, Joanne Christensen, Curtis Christensen, Jason Hadley, Marvin Farrell, Saundra Dinsdale, Corey Dinsdale, Kathleen Hohosh, Lynette Jensen, Kevin Jensen, Marc Moxham, Krystal Averill, Greg Montgomery, Sherry Farrell, Trent Nelson, Glade McCombs, Jim Bradshaw, Nancy Field, Aaron Field, Tawna Fields, Randy Smith, Jason Harris, Paul Davis.

#### 1. 750 West Complex

Kevin Blalock with Blalock and Partners has been working with staff to put together the 750 West complex plan. Phase one was the public works project. Phase two is the new public safety complex. This would include the police and city hall building. North View Fire would also occupy some space in the proposed development. Marcus Keller with Crews and Associates presented on some financing options. The City currently has outstanding debt from the 2022 and 2023 series bonds. The 2022 series was used for the Public Works Facility. Series 2023 was meant for Phase 2 of the Municipal Complex. The plan was to use the \$9,000,000 from the bonds and Grants received to fund phase 2. Due to increased costs and inflation the amount was not enough to cover the project. The City started looking at lease revenue bonds to cover the rest of the cost. The City has some bond options. Scenario 1 pay off the 2023 bonds. The bonds are allowed to be paid off without charge if 30 days' notice are given. Council needs to be aware that the 2023 bond is a 4.29%. If you pay off this bond and try to reissue it later the interest rate could be higher. Scenario 2 is complete public works phase 2 and roads project for an approximate cost of \$3,000,000. Then the remainder would be paid back. Scenario 3 issue a General Obligation bond which would require the vote of the people. This type of bond would levy a property tax. Once the bond is paid off the property tax is no longer charged. A 25-year term \$13,000,000 GO bond would increase property tax for a \$320,000 house by \$237.01. Council Member Christensen inquired about Marcus Keller's example of \$13,000,000 and \$15,000,000 bonds. Marcus Keller explained these are numbers used are just an example. If you decided to pursue these bonds, he would provide concrete numbers.

Curtis Dinsdale asked would this be added to city taxes or county. Mayor Tait answered it would be assessed with your city taxes. Eric Engelby inquired about the difference between sales tax

bonds and lease revenue bonds. Marcus Keller explained the city promises to the bond holder that they will pledge their sales tax revenue as collateral in case they cannot pay the bond payments. The lease revenue bond is a different option for the city. Instead of pledging sales tax revenue they used the property of the project as collateral. A resident asked how the lease revenue bonds would affect the Harrisville Citizens. Marcus Keller explained two months ago the city had a work session where they discussed what they could bond for and pay. The City can issue up to \$6,000,000 in additional bonds and still make the bond payments. Corey Dinsdale inquired who would be issuing funds to the City. Marcus Keller explained the City created the local building authority which would issue the bonds and the City would make the payments. Kevin Karras asked if the lease revenue bonds were still on the table. Mayor Tait stated that has not been decided yet but it would be discussed during the Council Meeting. Kevin Karras inquired if the LBA and Council had two separate meetings. Mayor Tait clarified the LBA met to vote then reconvened as the Council. Michelle Singleton stated she was at the meeting and they did not separate meeting. Mayor Tait stated that is a different meeting. Council Member Fawcett stated the meeting was in April not June. Kevin Karras inquired when the public hearing for the LBA would be held. Brandon Johnson stated for a public hearing there is no action taken so they can run concurrently as the LBA and Council. Kevin Karras inquired why the local building authority did not have a meeting. Brandon Johnson explained because no action was taken, they do not need to separate. Curtis Christensen asked for clarification the city has already issued \$9,000,000 are we issuing an additional \$13,000,000 to \$15,000,000 in bonds. Marcus Keller explained those were just examples if the City wanted to pay back the sales tax bond and issue the GO bonds. Jason Hadley asked are the sales tax bonds that were issued in 2022 and 2023 already factored into our taxes. Marcus Keller explained those are already factored into your taxes. The only bond that might factor into your taxes would be the \$6,000,000 in lease revenue bonds that have not been issued yet. Roger Shuman is confused about the bond numbers. Are we asking for all these bonds that total \$25,000,000. How much are we spending. Mayor Tait explained initially we had \$6,000,000 in bonds then issued \$9,000,000. We know now that it will not be know enough. Michelle Singleton asked about the 2022 and 2023 bond and why bonds were issued even though people voted against the recreation center. Council Member Christensen stated in 2022 they issued \$6,000,000 and 2023 \$9,000,000 was issued. The recreation center was its own bond it is separate and not part of any of these bonds.

Jennie Knight answered questions from the June 11, 2024 Council Meeting. There have been no bonds issued in 2024 for the 750 West complex. Was the bond resolution authorizing up to \$10,000,000 in bonds. State law requires us to state the maximum allowed for bonds, Council wanted to make sure the resolution allowed them to issue what was needed. Was the Council going to issue \$10,000,000 in bonds. No, they were not going to issue \$10,000,000, Council decided it would be fiscally irresponsible to issue more than \$6,000,000. Was the City trying to circumvent the public by issuing lease revenue bonds. No, it was discussed in every Council meeting and work session since January of 2024. All action has taken place in public meetings in accordance with the Utah Open Meetings Act. Would the issuance of new bonds affect property tax rates. Yes, a new bond could increase the property tax rates in Harrisville. What would any funds issued with new lease revenue bonds be spent on. Any funds issued with the bonds would be spent on the 750 West Complex.

Kevin Karras inquired if the petition for the lease revenue bonds was going to be on the ballot. Mayor Tait stated if Council decides to move forward with the lease revenue bonds, they would be on the ballot. Gary Singleton inquired about the \$9,000,000 that has been wasted on the bonds already. Why issue more money when you have been managing it poorly. Jennie Knight explained the City issued \$9,000,000 in bonds in 2023 for phase 2 of the 750 West Complex. After review and planning with the architect it was established that the \$9,000,000 in bonds would not be enough to complete the 750 West complex. The \$9,000,000 has not been spent any portion of the complex. The City is asking to issue more bonds so they have the full amount to complete phase 2 of the project before starting.

#### 2. Adjourn

The meeting adjourned at 6:54 P.M.

# Minutes of a regular Harrisville City Council meeting held on July 9th, 2024 at 7:00 P.M. in the Harrisville City Council Chambers, 363 West Independence Blvd., Harrisville, UT.

- **Present:** Mayor Michelle Tait, Council Member Karen Fawcett, Council Member Grover Wilhelmsen, Council Member Blair Christensen, Council Member Max Jackson.
- **Excused:** Council Member Steve Weiss.
- Staff: Jennie Knight, City Administrator, Brody Flint, City Attorney, Justin Shinsel, Public Works Director, Jack Fogal, City Recorder, Mark Wilson, Chief of Police, Bryan Fife, Parks and Recreation Director, Jessica Hardy, Finance Director, Sargent Nick Taylor.
- Visitors: Arnold Tait, Marcus Keller, Brandon Johnson, Roger Shuman, Joan Bairdland, Frances Hood, Steve Hood, Kim Engelby, Eric Engelby, Kevin Karras, Michelle Singleton, Gary Singleton, Joanne Christensen, Curtis Christensen, Jason Hadley, Marvin Farrell, Saundra Dinsdale, Corey Dinsdale, Kathleen Hohosh, Lynette Jensen, Kevin Jensen, Marc Moxham, Krystal Averill, Greg Montgomery, Sherry Farrell, Trent Nelson, Glade McCombs, Jim Bradshaw, Nancy Field, Aaron Field, Tawna Fields, Randy Smith, Jason Harris, Paul Davis.

#### 1. Call to Order.

Mayor Tait called the meeting to order and welcomed all in attendance.

#### 2. Opening Ceremony.

Council Member Jackson opened with the Pledge of Allegiance.

#### 3. Consent Items

#### a. Approval of Meeting Minutes for June 11<sup>th</sup>, 2024 as presented.

**Motion:** Council Member Christensen made a motion to approve the meeting minutes for June 11<sup>th</sup>, 2024 as presented, second by Council Member Fawcett.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Wilhelmsen, Yes Council Member Weiss, Excused Council Member Christensen, Yes Council Member Jackson, Yes Council Member Fawcett, Yes

The motion passed unanimously

#### 4. Employee Recognition

#### a. Bill Smith – Years of Service

Mayor Tait recognized Bill Smith for his years of service on the Planning Commission.

#### 5. Business Items.

#### a. Affordable Housing Discussion

Jason Harris with Fieldstone Homes presented on Affordable Housing. He explained our sense of belonging and success comes along with the American Dream of home ownership. The greatest thing he ever did was buy his first home. Four out of five households cannot afford the median priced home along the Wasatch Front. The median home price in 1980 was \$53,000, it is now over \$550,000. Household income has not kept up with the increase. He showed an example of a project Fieldstone is working on. The lots in the example are 1/3 acre lots and approximately 2700 SQ FT homes. The average sale price on the third acre lots is \$833,787. If vou divide the lots in half front to back the average price drops to \$663,787. People often suggest not using granite counter tops or removing double vanities. If you nickel and dime these homes you can only cut \$10,000-\$15,000 per home. If you reduce the square footage of the home to 1900 SQ ft. the price is now \$573,825. It is still not affordable but it is a step in the right direction. He does not believe that up zoning everything will solve the issue. If you blanket land zone the price of the land increases. Do not be so concerned about density be concerned about what homes the City needs. He has presented in other cities and some are working on ordinances to help with affordable housing. Council holds the power to pass land use ordinances that can affect the price of homes. Council Member Wilhelmsen stated it reminds him of being in New Orleans and seeing the long row houses. Council Member Fawcett asked if these example homes would be zero lot line homes. Jason Harris stated no they are not. They have standard setbacks. The house is situated different from normal to fit the lot size. Different is not bad. Home ownership is worth the discussion. Council Member Jackson inquired if he has seen problems with his developments for infrastructure costs between the city and the developer. Jason Harris stated most cities have it written in their code that the developer is responsible for the costs. He is advocating for collaboration between the City and the developer. They should work together to find a way to get the home prices to benefit the community. Council Member Jackson stated the legislature has told us if we don't fix the housing issues they will. Is this presentation part of that. Jason Harris stated there is a lot of discussion in the Legislature that small cities will not be able to fix the problem. He wants to help cities get ahead of this issue. Mayor Tait inquired how adding two lots on a single lot helps. Jason Harris explained there are three benefits. First benefit is an approximate savings of \$250,000 for the resident. The second benefit is increased taxes per acre. The third benefit is the infrastructure of the units stays the same. Council Member Fawcett stated she grew up back east and lived in a row home. Crime was not bad and she had a good quality of life. Jason Harris stated these firsttime homes may not be what everyone wants but they are important. His first home was downtown with no assigned parking. Without that first home he would not have been able to upgrade when needed.

# b. Discussion/possible action to adopt Harrisville Ordinance 558; an ordinance adopting a Master Development Agreement for Oak Hollow Subdivision located at approximately 265 Larsen Lane.

Brody Flint explained we are here because Council passed an ordinance approving a cluster development plan. The plan was challenged administratively. The appeal judge stated this was not a master development agreement as proposed. Utah Code allows the developer to apply for a development with a master development agreement. There was a public hearing held by the Planning Commission, which gave a positive recommendation. Council Member Jackson inquired about the concerns voiced by residents. Glade McCombs stated one concern was the location of the pickle ball court. It was changed based on feedback from residents. Council Member Jackson inquired about back yard lot lines. Glade McCombs stated they could not change the backyard lots without making large changes. The development team did not want to make major changes to keep the development in line with the previous ordinance. He has heard concerns about irrigation but they have made a commitment to not affect users of the irrigation ditch downstream. Council Member Wilhelmsen inquired about the density in regard to the backyards to existing development. How many lots are there in the development. Glade McCombs stated there are 30 lots, they could have requested a higher density but did not to keep in line with the previous ordinance.

**Motion:** Council Member Wilhelmsen motioned to adopt Harrisville Ordinance 558; an ordinance adopting a Master Development Agreement for Oak Hollow Subdivision located at approximately 265 Larsen Lane, second by Council Member Fawcett.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Wilhelmsen, Yes Council Member Weiss, Excused Council Member Christensen, Yes Council Member Jackson, Yes Council Member Fawcett, Yes

The motion passed unanimously.

#### c. Splash Pad Discussion.

Bryan Fife presented a proposal to adjust the hours of operation for the splash pad. He has received public comment inquiring why the splash pad is not open later. He is recommending opening the splash pad Memorial day to Labor Day Monday to Sunday 10am to 8pm. The cost increase would be minimal. Council Member Fawcett inquired what the cost increase would be. Bryan Fife stated it would cost approximately \$800. There are no barriers if the splash pad is operating.

#### d. 750 West City Complex Discussion.

Kevin Blalock with Blalock and Partners explained approximately 85% of their work is in the public sector. He identified some deficiencies that the current city hall and police office have; some state mandated standards are not being met due to physical constraints, and the current building do not meet standards to be an essential facility. Staff has toured new public safety buildings in the state. They discussed with other chiefs and city administrators what could be done to improve the process. They have worked with Roth Sheppard Architects Firm. They are an expert in designing public safety buildings. With building codes today there are four classifications for essential buildings. To meet the essential facilities standards, it must be operational after a large-scale disaster. If you try to renovate the current building it would have to be brought up to code to meet the essential facilities standard. They used six guiding principles when designing the new building; design and construction for 50-year lifespan,

maximize functional efficiencies, develop site and buildings as a community-first amenity, create an appropriate civic building, capitalize on shared/multi-function uses, incorporate durable, costeffective and low maintenance materials. In design work you have three variables; cost, quality, and quantity. If you make changes to two variables the other will rise or fall accordingly. He presented a graph of building construction costs based on the ENR index. In 2020 inflation for construction went up steeply. We are still experiencing above average inflation. The best-case scenario is it will catch up in ten to twelve years if it ever catches up. We may see new trends that never return to what they were before 2020. He reviewed phase 2 of the 750 West City Complex. City staff worked with the architect to trim the costs as much as they could without compromising functionality. The current cost estimate is \$14,675,000 if the project went out to bid in summer of 2025. Council Member Jackson inquired if we can get accredited with our current facility. Chief Wilson clarified yes, we can. Accreditation is done on policy alone not facility. Council Member Jackson inquired if we have planned enough space for an incident command if an emergency occurred. Chief Wilson stated yes, we have planned space for an incident command.

Marcus Keller explained if the City wants to move forward with the lease revenue bonds it has been put under referendum. It would need to go to vote from the residents. His recommendation is to no longer pursue the lease revenue bond. If Council still wants to try and find funding for the facility, they should look at GO bonds instead. GO bonds have better rates than a lease revenue bond. Typically, if a bond goes to referendum, it will not pass on a ballot. We do need to decide in about a year what we are doing with the 2023 series bond. If we are paying it back or pursing other options for funding. Jennie Knight agreed with Marcus Keller to not pursue the lease revenue bond. She recommends if Council wants to move forward with bonds pursing GO Bonds. Marcus Keller explained August 21<sup>st</sup> is the deadline if Council pursues a GO Bond. Council Member Jackson believes the people have spoken with the referendum. Council Member Wilhelmsen inquired about how much a tax increase would be on a \$6,000,000 bond. Jennie Knight explained the proposed tax increase is 5.25% for this year, we do not have exact figures on a tax increase for the bond. Council Member Wilhelmsen inquired how we can educate the public on the tax increase for the GO bond. Jennie Knight explained we have put together a excel spreadsheet that will give residents an approximation of what their tax increase will be for this year. Mayor Tait inquired if it is better to return the 2023 series bond and issue \$15,000,000 in GO bonds. Marcus Keller clarified no, right now the best option is to the use \$9,000,000 sales tax bond and look at a \$6,000,000 GO bond. Brandon Johnson stated you do not need to vote on an amount tonight. You can vote during the next meeting and include the amount during the motion. Council Member Wilhelmsen inquired if the GO bond does not pass what will be done with the \$9,000,000. Marcus Keller explained we would have to decide by spring of next year what to do with the \$9,000,000 whether paying it back or using it towards the complex. Council asked staff to proceed with the architectural plans for the project and gather information for the GO bond. Council Member Fawcett inquired does Council need to be prepared to vote during the next meeting. Marcus Keller stated yes, a decision would need to be made next month If you decide to move forward with a GO bond you would need to vote next month to meet the deadline.

# e. Discussion/possible action authorize the purchase of Western Irrigation Water Shares.

Jennie Knight explained the City created the 4-Mile Special Service District for areas that are not covered by Pineview Water Systems. Developers must submit water shares to 4-mile for water servicing. A developer provided Warren Irrigation water shares which does not provide service to Harrisville. Staff contacted Warren Irrigation about selling the shares. The buyer of the Warren Shares will purchase them and trade shares for Western Irrigation shares, Lynn Irrigation shares and cash.

**Motion:** Council Member Wilhelmsen made a motion to authorize the purchase of Western Irrigation Water Shares, second by Council Member Fawcett.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Wilhelmsen, Yes Council Member Weiss, Excused Council Member Christensen, Yes Council Member Jackson, Yes Council Member Fawcett, Yes

The motion passed unanimously.

#### 6. Public Comment

Mayor Tait opened the public comment period.

Marvin Farrell is concerned with water shares. He asked to present information from Western Irrigation. It involves the amount of water shares needed per acre. He values land and respects it. We need to be smart how we manage the land we do have. He likes houses to have acreage. We need to think about how to manage land. He understands the need for smaller lots but keep Harrisville, Harrisville.

Jason Hadley liked the information presented in the meeting. We had lots of information but we did not hear anything on the new fire department. Why can we not add the excel spreadsheet with tax rates online. He wants Council to exercise judgement with the architectural firm.

Aaron Fields is concerned about the Oak Hollow Subdivision. Council just approved a Master Development Agreement. Code is there to protect existing home owners. A developer comes in and needs to understand the code. A master development agreement throws out the code and does not protect the residents. Why enter a development agreement that puts the code in the garbage. We are talking about affordable housing how do we make it. What is a house going to cost in Oak Hollow Subdivision. It still won't be affordable. How close is this house going to be to his backyard.

Kevin Karras explained there is no confusion about the recreation bond. He has talked to the public about the \$10,000,000 bond. Now you say \$6,000,000 not \$10,000,000. He never heard the Council say we need to put a limit on how much we are spending. You cannot account for \$6,000,000. You should ask the departments what they really need. The fire station adds confusion.

Curtis Christensen appreciates the information distributed tonight. There is a lot of confusion. He encourages the Council to try and build the trust back. He is curious what is the code that requires these things for the new building.

Kathleen Hohosh does not want smaller lots. Why not make a code change for the small parcel the developer wants. Why are you letting a developer strong arm you. She disagrees about the cost of an affordable cost being \$500,000.

Michelle Singleton wants information to be specific for the clarification about the bonds. She does not understand the bond process. Not voting on bonds makes people think Council is being sneaky. The City's website can be confusing to navigate and the newsletter can be outdated by the time they get them. She recommended townhall meetings.

Roger Shuman is thankful for the information. He is still confused about the total cost of the project. He is curious about where the \$13,000,000 came from when discussing phase 2. He saw the \$12,000,000 but is concerned where it changed to \$15,000,000. He inquired about the code enforcement. If you follow city ordinances his neighbor's property violates code.

Mayor Tait closed the public comment period.

#### 7. Mayor/Council Follow-up

Chief Wilson explained last week two detectives were sworn in with the DFAST team. All agencies volunteer officers for this team. They work on violent warrants, sex offenders, and with potentially violent offenders. Officer Silverwood should complete his FTO by September 2<sup>nd</sup>. The officers have stopped by some lemonade stands to spend time with kids in the City.

Justin Shinsel explained progress is being made on Highway 89. Both projects are proceeding on schedule. One is the sewer line for Ben Lomond and the second is Highway 89 resurfacing. There is a lot of new development and infrastructure going in. Ashlar Cove and Dixon Creek are making progress. Millennium Park is under construction with new; restrooms, playground equipment, sprinklers, and lighting around the track. The hope is to have that completed by early this winter. Council Member Jackson inquired who is paying for the park improvements. Justin Shinsel explained the Ben Lomond developer is paying for it as agreed in the MDA.

Bryan Fife explained the park on 1100 was hydro seeded. The grass is trying to grow. The movie in the park was very successful. We had approximately 150 people. He asked to have the splash pad open until the movie starts on movie night. Council agreed.

Jennie Knight explained the owner of HHI died in an ATV accident over the weekend. Staff has met every other week to prepare a Capitol Facilities Plan for Council's approval.

Council Member Wilhelmsen reported on the senior luncheon. The YCC assisted with the luncheon. They had a great time.

Council Member Fawcett inquired about the money for the planner and code enforcement. Jennie Knight explained it would be part of the proposed tax increase. Council Member Fawcett explained the state has mandated the City take steps for affordable housing. She wanted to clarify that Jason Harris was not stating \$500,000 as affordable but was providing an example. She also values land but people do need a place to live. She explained we did not receive a bid for the public safety building it was just a projection. She supports the idea of townhall meetings.

#### 8. Adjournment

Council Member Jackson motioned to adjourn the meeting, second by Council Member Fawcett.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Wilhelmsen, Yes Council Member Weiss, Excused Council Member Christensen, Yes Council Member Jackson, Yes Council Member Fawcett, Yes

The motion passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 9:15 P.M.

MICHELLE TAIT Mayor

ATTEST:

Jack Fogal City Recorder Approved this 13th day of August, 2024

#### MINUTES HARRISVILLE CITY COUNCIL August 6, 2024 363 West Independence Blvd Harrisville, UT 84404

Minutes of a regular Harrisville City Council meeting held on August 6th, 2024 at 7:00 P.M. in the Harrisville City Council Chambers, 363 West Independence Blvd., Harrisville, UT.

**Present:** Mayor Michelle Tait, Council Member Karen Fawcett, Council Member Grover Wilhelmsen, Council Member Blair Christensen, Council Member Max Jackson, Council Member Steve Weiss.

#### Excused:

- **Staff:** Jennie Knight, City Administrator, Jack Fogal, City Recorder, Mark Wilson, Chief of Police, Sergeant Alicia Davis.
- Visitors: Kathleen Hohosh, Kevin Karras, Jason Hadley, MQ Johnson, Kevin Jensen, Angie Francom, Sherry Farrell, Mike Farrell, Alesa Hofmeister, Roger Colvin, Frances Hood, Steve Hood, Saundra Dinsdale, Ryan Ellis, Curtis Christensen, Roger Price, Joanne Christensen, Jordan Wheelwright.

#### 1. Call to Order.

Mayor Tait called the meeting to order and welcomed all in attendance.

#### 2. Opening Ceremony.

Council Member Fawcett opened with the Pledge of Allegiance.

#### 3. Business Items

**a. Public Hearing** – to receive input from the public for and/or against the proposed increase to the certified tax rate levy for Harrisville City.

Jennie Knight explained staff has identified three needs for the City. The first is a public relations and website service need. Currently we pay \$1,500 every other year to purchase the domain name for our website. We are looking at revamping our website to make it more transparent and easier to navigate. Staff is looking into proposals for a public relations contract. They range from \$2,000-\$50,000 a month. We will not solicit formal contracts until Council decides this is a priority need. The second need is a code enforcement officer. It is currently being fulfilled by a public works employee. Due to increase in development in the City he is no longer able to cover code enforcement. This employee was not hired for code enforcement but was helping out. Staff is proposing hiring a part time code enforcement officer under the police department. Staff is not recommending taking a proactive code enforcement approach, but responding to complaints. The third is a planning service need. It is currently being split between admin staff and a third party. Due to increases in development in the city staff is recommending hiring a part-time planner. If Council takes no action the current tax rate would be 0.001486. The taxes on a \$416,000 residence would be approximately \$340 a year in property taxes to the City. If we maintain the rate, it would be 0.001500. The taxes on a \$416,000 property would be approximately \$342.38, a \$2.38 increase. The proposed increase would be a rate of 0.001564. The taxes on a \$416,000 property would be approximately \$357.39 a \$17.39 increase. Council Member Fawcett inquired

why not have a pro-active code enforcement approach. Jennie Knight explained we would need more staffing just for code enforcement. We would need a dedicated officer who only does code enforcement plus staff to review and follow up. Council Member Fawcett asked if hiring a part time code enforcement officer would provide quicker response times to complaints. Jennie Knight answered yes, it would. Council Member Wilhelmsen inquired what code they would be enforcing. Jennie Knight explained they would respond to complaints in regards to City code such as; building complaints, nuisance complaints, junk complaints, and other issues addressed in City code. Council Member Christensen asked if this increase would allow us to cover all three needs. Jennie Knight explained no, it would not. The total increase would amount to \$50,000 in increased revenue for the City. Council would have to decide what to spend it on. Council Member Wilhelmsen inquired about the public relations needs. Jennie Knight explained the top priority would be to re-design the website to make it more user friendly. We have received complaints that it is hard to navigate. We could also utilize a public relations service to communicate on social media. This would allow us to send messages to the public and interact on social media. Council Member Jackson inquired if we maintain the current rate, can we still give cost of living raises to staff. Jennie Knight explained yes it would allow cost of living increases. Council Member Wilhelmsen inquired what the scope of the planner would be. Jennie Knight explained the use of a planner helps us to balance development applications against our code and to meet state requirements. The planner would also communicate to people who called inquiring about building in the city; this would include businesses, residents, and developers. The planner would be proactive in trying to bring in new businesses to Harrisville. Council Member Fawcett summarized the position would help to map out the City plan and bring in new businesses. Jennie Knight clarified yes; you are correct as well as keeping us compliant with state laws.

**Motion:** Council Member Weiss made a motion to open the public hearing to receive input from the public for and/or against the proposed increase to the certified tax rate levy, second by Council Member Fawcett.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Wilhelmsen, Yes Council Member Weiss, Yes Council Member Christensen, Yes Council Member Jackson, Yes Council Member Fawcett, Yes

The motion passed unanimously

Kevin Karras explained he is glad he came to the meeting. He is wary of tax increases. He asks that the City Council recognizes the pressure on them. We are in a pattern that money is no issue. If the City does not have enough just hold a truth in taxation and increase rates. How can we use the existing budget without increasing the tax burden. It is well within Council's power to maintain the budget.

Jason Hadley appreciates the information presented and wants his question noted. Why was the information provided tonight not in the packet. Why was the budget decreasing but the tax rate increased. Last year's budget was \$5,900,000 and this year is \$5,400,000. A tax increase is an unneeded burden. Why are you asking for more when you are spending less.

Kevin Jensen appreciates the City Council. Have they looked at all of the options. If you look at the cities in Weber County. We are 12<sup>th</sup> in population and 15<sup>th</sup> in area. We are the 4<sup>th</sup> highest taxed City in the county. If you look at ways to cut taxes the police are the biggest expenditure. There are four cities in the county with bigger populations that do not have a police department. They contract with the Sheriff's department. Farr West paid \$745,000 for services in 2023 and we paid \$2,300,000 for our police department. Have we looked at all the options. Is it the best decision to keep our police department.

Curtis Christensen has similar concerns to Kevin Jensen. Our biggest budget item is an expense that could be shared with larger entities. We lose some control but we save money. A few years ago, a meeting was held with the current sheriff and the City decided to keep the police department. If we can save \$100,000 you need to look at that. You need to be willing to relinquish control. The force has done a lot of good things but it is time to move on to something else. We are one of the highest taxed entities in the county we need to look at ways to cut costs.

Kathleen Hohosh has been a resident for 20 years. One of her concerns is that new residents are not having their taxes increased, only old residents are having their taxes increased. Why are residents who are currently living here being punished. She wants to know the state bills mentioned so she can research it herself. North View Fire just did a tax increase. She has a fixed income and with her increasing property values she feels they are being taxed out of their homes. She has nothing against the police department and supports them. Her granddaughter was almost abducted in Plain City last month. She believes having a city department is better than utilizing the sheriff's office. Nothing has been done about the attempted abduction because the sheriff's department did not respond fast enough.

Ryan Ellis would be ok with maintaining the rate but not the increase. He is happy to hear that there is not a pro-active code enforcement. People should not be harassed by code enforcement. He is ok with having a police department. He believes our officers are responding to crime, not harassing people. He has decent experiences with our police department. He likes that our department is patrolling and responding to crime not writing tickets.

Roger Colvin thanked Council for their service. He understands it is hard. We have recently seen how the residents feel about tax increases and bonds. He is against tax increases. He recently retired, and ran a physical therapy practice for thirty-nine years. He learned to manage well. The City needs to learn to manage well. We expect the City Council and City manager to manage well. What can you cut; what fat can be trimmed. He never had to take a cut in pay because he managed well. He did what was needed to survive. \$50,000 is not a large amount of money, the City can find that to trim. If you looked closely, you can find it.

Jordan Wheelwright echoed his fellow citizens. Tax increases in the time now with record inflation is a joke. Residents are having to make cuts. There is a lot of fat that can be trimmed. We have a spending problem not an income problem. We need to make some cuts. You are hurting your citizens. What do you expect residents to do. We want to live in Harrisville. That tax increase might not affect people very much right now but could have a huge impact later.

**Motion:** Council Member Wilhelmsen made a motion to close the public hearing to receive input from the public for and/or against the proposed increase to the certified tax rate levy, second by Council Member Weiss.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Wilhelmsen, Yes Council Member Weiss, Yes Council Member Christensen, Yes Council Member Jackson, Yes Council Member Fawcett, Yes

The motion passed unanimously

#### 4. Adjournment

Council Member Weiss motioned to adjourn the meeting, second by Council Member Fawcett.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Wilhelmsen, Yes Council Member Weiss, Yes Council Member Christensen, Yes Council Member Jackson, Yes Council Member Fawcett, Yes

The motion passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 7:38 P.M.

#### ATTEST:

MICHELLE TAIT Mayor

**Jack Fogal** City Recorder Approved this 13th day of August, 2024

#### RESOLUTION

A RESOLUTION providing for the holding of a Bond Election in Harrisville City, Utah

\*\*\* \*\*\* \*\*\*

WHEREAS, there is a need of raising money for the purpose of acquiring and constructing a city hall, public safety facility and public works facility (collectively, the "*Project*") in the City of Harrisville, Utah (the "*City*"); and, to the extent necessary, for providing moneys for the refunding, at or prior to the maturity thereof, of general obligation bonds of the City authorized hereunder or heretofore issued and now outstanding;

WHEREAS, there are no funds in the treasury of the City available for this purpose;

WHEREAS, Section 11-14-318 of the Local Government Bonding Act, Chapter 14, Title 11 of the Utah Code Annotated 1953, as amended (the "*Utah Code*") requires that a public hearing be held with respect to the issuance of such bonds for said purposes and that notice of such public hearing be given as provided by law;

WHEREAS, the City desires to (a) hold a Bond Election on November 5, 2024 to submit to the qualified electors of the City the question of the issuance of such bonds for said purpose (the *"Bond Election"*), (b) provide for the holding of a public hearing and (c) direct the publication and posting of a Notice of Public Hearing (the *"Notice of Public Hearing"*); and

WHEREAS, the City desires to provide for the conduct of the Bond Election by designating the election officials, approving the polling places and providing for other related matters;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Harrisville, Utah, as follows:

*Section 1.* The City hereby finds and determines that it is advisable to issue general obligation bonds to address the needs of the City to raise money for the purpose of acquiring and constructing a city hall, public safety facility and public works facility in the City, and, to the extent necessary, to provide moneys for the refunding, at or prior to the maturity thereof, of general obligation bonds of the City authorized hereunder or heretofore issued and now outstanding.

*Section 2.* A special bond election shall be called and held in the City on Tuesday, November 5, 2024, to submit to the qualified electors of the City the question of whether bonds of the City to the amount of \$6,000,000 shall be issued and sold for the purpose of acquiring and constructing a city hall, public safety facility and public works facility in the City, and, to the extent necessary, for providing moneys for the refunding, at or prior to the maturity thereof, of general obligation bonds of the City authorized hereunder or heretofore issued and now outstanding.

*Section 3.* The question shall be submitted to the qualified electors of the City at the Bond Election and such Bond Election is hereby called to be held in the City on Tuesday, November 5, 2024 (the *"Election Date"*). The question shall be submitted in substantially the form set out in the form of ballot appearing in Section 4 hereof.

*Section 4.* The ballots to be used at the Bond Election shall comply in all respects with the requirements of Section 11-14-206 and Title 20A, Chapter 6, Part 1 of the Utah Code, and shall be in substantially the following form:

# OFFICIAL BALLOT FOR THE CITY OF HARRISVILLE, UTAH BOND ELECTION

November 5, 2024

(Facsimile Signature) City Recorder

#### CITY PROPOSITION NUMBER

Shall the City of Harrisville, Utah be authorized to issue general obligation bonds to acquire and construct a city hall, public safety facility and public works facility in the City, in an amount not to exceed \$6,000,000 and to mature in no more than 26 years from the date or dates of such bonds?

#### PROPERTY TAX COST OF BONDS

If the bonds are issued as planned, a property tax sufficient to pay debt service on the Bonds will be required over a period of 26 years in the estimated amount of \$127.68 per year on a \$416,000 primary residence and in the estimated amount of \$236.96 per year on a business or secondary residence having the same value.

The foregoing is only an estimate and is not a limit on the amount of taxes that the governing body may be required to levy in order to pay debt service on the bonds. The governing body is obligated to levy taxes to the extent provided by law in order to pay the bonds.

FOR THE ISSUANCE OF BONDS
AGAINST THE ISSUANCE OF BONDS

Section 5. In satisfaction of the requirements of Section 11-14-318 of the Code, a public hearing shall be held by the Council on a date and location selected by the Council with respect to the issuance by the City of general obligation bonds, if approved by eligible voters at the Bond Election, for the purposes set forth in Section 1 and the potential economic impact of the Project.

*Section 6.* The City Recorder shall cause the "Notice of Public Hearing," in substantially the form attached hereto as *Exhibit 1*, to be published on the Utah Public Notice Website (as of the date of this Resolution, http://pmn.utah.gov) at least 14 days prior to the date set for the public hearing.

*Section 7.* After the adoption of this Resolution and at least 75 days before the Bond Election, a certified copy hereof, which includes the ballot title and the ballot proposition, shall be furnished on behalf of the City by Farnsworth Johnson PLLC, as bond counsel, to the Lieutenant Governor of the State of Utah and to the election officer.

*Section 8.* The City Recorder and the County Clerk of Weber County, Utah (the "*County Clerk*") are hereby authorized and directed to perform and do, and to cause to be performed and done, all things necessary to conduct the Bond Election in accordance with the provisions of this Resolution, Chapter 14, Title 11 of the Utah Code, and Title 20A of the Utah Code, each as election officer (each individually and collectively the "*Election Officer*").

*Section 9.* As permitted by Section 20A-3-302 of the Utah Code, the Bond Election shall be administered entirely by absentee ballot. The Election Officer is hereby requested, authorized and directed to make all preparations and take all actions necessary for the conduct of the Bond Election or required pursuant to the Election Code, Title 20A of the Utah Code.

*Section 10.* Notice of the Special Election shall be provided by publishing such notice on the Utah Public Notice Website for three consecutive weeks no less than 21 days nor more than 35 days before the Election Day. The notice shall be in substantially the form attached hereto as *Exhibit 2*.

*Section 11.* The officers and employees of the City are authorized and directed to prepare and mail a voter information pamphlet or a notification thereof. The officers, employees and members of the City are authorized and directed to prepare and submit to the Election Officer an argument and rebuttal argument in favor of the ballot proposition set forth in Section 4 hereof, in compliance with the Transparency of Ballot Propositions Act, Title 59, Chapter 1, Part 16 of the Utah Code.

*Section 12.* The Council shall meet as a board of canvassers no sooner than seven days and no later than 14 days after the Election Day, at the regular meeting place of the Council, and if the majority of the votes cast at the Special Election are in favor of such proposition submitted, then the Council shall cause an entry of that fact to be made upon its minutes, and thereupon the Council shall be authorized to issue such bonds.

*Section 13.* This Resolution is a declaration of official intent under Treas. Reg. Section 1.150-2. In satisfaction of the requirements thereof:

(a) Expenditures relating to paying the costs of the Project (i) have been paid within 60 days prior to the passage of this Resolution or (ii) will be paid on or after the passage of this Resolution (the *"Expenditures"*).

(b) The City reasonably expects to reimburse the Expenditures with proceeds of general obligation bonds, if any, authorized at the Bond Election, to be issued by the City.

(d) The maximum principal amount of such bonds expected to be issued for the Expenditures to be made from the Fund is \$6,000,000.

*Section 14.* All acts and resolutions in conflict with this Resolution or any part thereof are hereby repealed.

*Section 15.* It is hereby declared that all parts of this Resolution are severable, and if any section, clause or provision of this Resolution shall, for any reason, be held to be invalid and unenforceable, the invalidity or unenforceability of any such section, clause or provision shall not affect the remaining sections, clauses or provisions of this Resolution.

*Section 16.* Immediately after its adoption by at least two-thirds majority of the members of the Council, this Resolution shall be signed by the Mayor or another member of the Council and the City Recorder, shall be sealed with the seal of the City and shall be recorded in a book kept for that purpose and shall take immediate effect.

(Signature page follows.)

ADOPTED AND APPROVED by the City Council of the City of Harrisville, Utah, this August 13, 2024.

CITY OF HARRISVILLE, UTAH

By\_\_\_\_\_ Mayor

[SEAL]

ATTEST AND COUNTERSIGN:

By\_\_\_\_\_ City Recorder

#### **CITY COUNCIL VOTE AS RECORDED:**

Council member	Grover Wilhelmsen	
Council member	Steve Weiss	
Council member	Blair Christensen	
Council member	Max Jackson	
Council member	Karen Taylor-Fawcett	

#### Ехнівіт 1

#### NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

#### CITY OF HARRISVILLE, UTAH

PUBLIC NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on August 13, 2024, the City Council (the "*Council*") of the City of Harrisville, Utah (the "*City*") adopted a resolution (the "*Resolution*"), providing for a Bond Election to be held in the City on Tuesday, November 5, 2024, for the purpose of submitting to the qualified electors of the City the question of the issuance of general obligation bonds in an amount not to exceed \$6,000,000 (the "*Bond Election*") and calling a public hearing to receive input from the public with respect to the issuance of general obligation bonds and the potential economic impact that the project for which the bonds pay all or part of the cost will have on the private sector, pursuant to the Section 11-14-318 of the Utah Code Annotated 1953, as amended.

#### PURPOSE FOR THE ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

If approved by eligible voters at the Bond Election, the City intends to issue general obligation bonds for the purpose of acquiring and constructing a recreational facility in the City and, to the extent necessary, for providing moneys for the refunding, at or prior to the maturity thereof, of general obligation bonds of the City.

#### MAXIMUM PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF THE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

If approved by eligible voters at the Bond Election, the City intends to issue general obligation bonds in an amount not to exceed \$6,000,000, in one or more series at one or more times.

#### THE TAXES, IF ANY, PROPOSED TO BE PLEDGED

The City proposes to pledge the full faith and credit of the City for the payment of its general obligation bonds and may be obligated to levy and collect ad valorem taxes sufficient to pay the general obligation bonds, as provided by law.

#### TIME, PLACE AND LOCATION OF PUBLIC HEARING

The Council will hold a public hearing at \_\_\_\_\_\_ p.m. on \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2024. The public hearing will be held at the City's offices, located at 363 West Impendence Boulevard, in Harrisville, Utah. All members of the public are invited to attend and participate in the public hearing. Prior to the public hearing, written comments may be submitted to the City, to the attention of the City Recorder, 363 West Impendence Boulevard, Harrisville, Utah 84404.

DATED this August 13, 2024.

City of Harrisville, Utah

#### EXHIBIT 2

#### NOTICE OF SPECIAL BOND ELECTION

#### CITY OF HARRISVILLE, UTAH

PUBLIC NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a special bond election will be held in the City of Harrisville, Utah (the "*City*"), on Tuesday, November 5, 2024, at which special election there shall be submitted to the qualified, registered voters residing within the City the following question:

#### **OFFICIAL BALLOT FOR THE**

#### **CITY OF HARRISVILLE, UTAH**

#### **BOND ELECTION**

November 5, 2024

(Facsimile Signature)

City Recorder

#### CITY PROPOSITION NUMBER

Shall the City of Harrisville, Utah be authorized to issue general obligation bonds to acquire and construct a city hall, public safety facility and public works facility in the City, in an amount not to exceed \$6,000,000 and to mature in no more than 26 years from the date or dates of such bonds?

#### PROPERTY TAX COST OF BONDS

If the bonds are issued as planned, a property tax sufficient to pay debt service on the Bonds will be required over a period of 26 years in the estimated amount of \$127.68 per year on a \$416,000 primary residence and in the estimated amount of \$236.96 per year on a business or secondary residence having the same value.

The foregoing is only an estimate and is not a limit on the amount of taxes that the governing body may be required to levy in order to pay debt service on the bonds. The governing body is obligated to levy taxes to the extent provided by law in order to pay the bonds.

FOR THE ISSUANCE OF BONDS
AGAINST THE ISSUANCE OF BONDS

The bond election will be administered entirely by absentee ballot. The election officer will mail to each registered voter within the City an absentee ballot and a postage paid business reply envelope. There will be no polling places in the City for the election. If a voter fails to follow the instructions included with the absentee ballot, the voter will be unable to vote in the election. Voters are not required to apply for an absentee ballot for the election.

On or around \_\_\_\_\_\_ an official absentee ballot will be mailed, postage paid, to all voters in the City who have registered to vote before the day on which the ballots are mailed. For a voter that registers to vote after the absentee ballots have been mailed, the County Clerk will either give the voter an absentee ballot and envelope to vote in the County Clerk's office or mail an absentee ballot, postage paid, to the voter.

To vote the mail-in absentee ballot, a voter will need to: (i) complete and sign the affidavit on the envelope; (ii) mark the votes on the absentee ballot; (iii) place the voted absentee ballot in the envelope; (iv) securely seal the envelope; and (v) deposit the self-addressed, prepaid envelope in the mail or deliver it in person to the County Clerk.

There is to be no special registration of voters for the special election, and the official register of voters last made or revised shall constitute the register for the special election, except that all persons who reside within the City and are registered to vote in the regular general election held on that day shall be considered to vote in the special election. The County Clerk will make electronic registration lists available at each of the above-described polling places for use by registered voters entitled to use such voting place.

For information on registering to vote, voters may contact the office of the (a) the office of the Weber County Clerk at 2380 Washington Boulevard Suite #320, Ogden, Utah 84401 telephone: (801) 399-8400 or visit the Weber County Clerk's website at <u>https://www.webercountyutah.gov/Clerk\_Auditor/</u>, or (b) Utah Lieutenant Governor, State Capitol, 350 N. State Street, Suite 220, Salt Lake City, Utah 84114, (801) 538-1041 or visit the Lieutenant Governor's website at <u>http://elections.utah.gov</u>.

Pursuant to applicable provisions of Sections 11-14-208 and 20A-4-403 of the Utah Code, the period allowed for any contest of the special election shall end 40 days after the date on which the results of the election are to be canvassed and the results thereof declared.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the City of Harrisville, Utah has caused this notice to be given.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2024.

CITY OF HARRISVILLE, UTAH

#### HARRISVILLE CITY RESOLUTION 24-11

#### A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE FISCAL YEAR 2025 FINAL BUDGET FOR HARRISVILLE CITY, UTAH, HARRISVILLE COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT AGENCY, AND FOUR MILE SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT, FISCAL YEAR ENDING: JUNE 30, 2025.

**WHEREAS**, Harrisville City (hereafter referred to as the "City") is a municipal corporation duly existing under the laws of the state of Utah;

WHEREAS, Utah Code Annotated §§ 10-6-111, 10-6-113, 10-6-118, 59-2-913 and 59-2-923, as amended, states in effect:

"On or before the first regularly scheduled city council of May, the mayor shall prepare for the ensuing year, a tentative budget for each fund for which a budget is required. The council shall review, consider and tentatively adopt the tentative budget and shall establish the time and place of the public hearing to receive public comment on the budget. Before June 30, or in the case of a property tax increase before September 1, the governing body shall by resolution or ordinance adopt a budget for the ensuing fiscal period for each fund for which a budget is required. A copy of the final budget for each fund shall be filed with the State Auditor within 30 days after adoption."

WHEREAS, the Mayor has prepared this budget for the City Council to review and consider;

WHEREAS, the City Council is also the governing body for the Harrisville Community Reinvestment Agency, and Four Mile Special Service District, and desires to adopt this budget in conformity with state law;

**WHEREAS,** the City Council, in accordance with state law, on May 14, 2024, adopted the tentative budget and subsequently held its public hearing through Truth In Taxation on the final budget on August 6, 2024, to take public comment before the adoption of the final budget for the above referenced fiscal year where the budget was presented;

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved by the City Council of Harrisville City as follows:

#### Section 1. Adoption of Budget.

That the Harrisville City Council adopts the final budget for FY 2025 as per the attached budgetary forms shown in Exhibit "A" incorporated herein by this reference, and with an increase in real property tax in the amounts shown on attached Exhibit "B" incorporated herein by this reference.

#### Section 2. Compliance and Submission.

That staff is authorized to make any modification to said budget to conform with the submission requirements of state law. That said budget adopted herein in accordance with the requirements of the laws of the state of Utah shall be immediately forwarded by staff to the State Auditor within thirty (30) days.

#### Section 3. **Effective Date.**

That this Resolution shall be effective immediately upon passage and adoption.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the City Council on this 13th day of August, 2024.

MICHELLE TAIT, Mayor	 Roll Call Vote Tally	Yes	No
ATTEST:	Grover Wilhelmsen Steve Weiss Blair Christensen Max Jackson	_	
	Karen Fawcett		
JACK FOGAL, City Recorder			

#### FUND 10 - GENERAL FUND

	DESCRIPTION	FY2020-2021 ACTUAL	FY2021-2022 ACTUAL	FY2022-2023 ACTUAL	FY2023-2024 BUDGET	FY2024-2025 REQUESTED	INC/(DEC)	% OF INC/(DEC)
REVEN	NUES							
10-31-		2,637,720	3,034,949	3,574,516	3,457,630	3,564,133	106,503	3.08%
10-32-	LICENSES & PERMITS	143,491	237,142				48,100	20.22%
10-33-	INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE	684,368	295,479	,	628,904		(258,904)	-41.17%
10-34-	CHARGES FOR SERVICES	7,951	22,196	25,340	22,000	22,000	Ú Ú	0.00%
10-35-	FINES & FORFEITURES	120,304	147,860				(500)	-0.35%
10-36-	MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	57,869	799,334	326,469	194,500	279,500	85,00Ó	43.70%
10-39-	<b>CONTRIBUTIONS &amp; TRANSFERS</b>	0	0	_	1,261,666		(394,550)	-31.27%
	TOTAL REVENUES	3,651,703	4,536,960	5,248,595	5,943,600	5,529,249	(414,350)	-6.97%
	IDITURES							
10-41-		23,237	36,856				557	1.26%
10-42-	COURT	102,441	113,840	131,585	171,609	143,608	(28,001)	-16.32%
10-44-	ADMINISTRATION	362,455	434,655	530,192	686,326	687,830	1,504	0.22%
10-45-	NON-DEPARTMENTAL	554,130	421,655	245,130	252,550	245,250	(7,300)	-2.89%
10-51-	POLICE	1,247,638	1,433,573	1,824,498	2,263,463	2,373,995	110,532	4.88%
10-56-	<b>BUILDING INSPECTION / PLANNING</b>	34,602	16,123	30,265	46,100	93,816	47,716	103.51%
10-61-	PUBLIC WORKS / MAINTENANCE	662,666	289,141	295,424	292,051	274,833	(17,218)	-5.90%
10-63-	PUBLIC WORKS / ROADS	0	201,309	0	859,348	543,063	(316,285)	-36.81%
10-71-	PARKS & RECREATION	436,073	465,243	535,893	937,746	722,232	(215,514)	-22.98%
10-90-	CONTRIBUTIONS / RESERVES	0	133,057	725,342	390,342	400,000	9,658	2.47%
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	3,423,242	3,545,452	4,349,996	5,943,600	5,529,249	(414,351)	-6.97%
	TOTAL GENERAL FUND	228,461	991,508	898,599	(0)	0	1	-178.31%

FUND BALANCE - BEGINNING OF YEAR		4,902,281	4,902,281
FUND BALANCE - END OF YEAR	4,902,281	4,902,281	4,902,281
NONSPENDABLE	94,821	94,821	94,821

CLASS C ROAD FUNDS	1,442,148	1,409,297	1,499,297
PARK DEVELOPMENT	379,324	85,766	100,766
TRANSPORTATION FEES	83,685	236,848	400,011
PUBLIC SAFETY	115,018	119,518	126,518
PUBLIC SAFETY BEER TAX	38,563	38,563	38,563
TRANSPORTATION TAX	792,627	945,790	1,108,953
UNASSIGNED	1,956,095	1,971,677	1,533,352
USE OF FUND BALANCE		482,420	606,979
ENDING UNASSIGNED FUND BALANCE		1,489,257	926,373

#### FUND 10 - GENERAL FUND

ACCOUNT	ACCOUNT TITLE	FY2020-2021 ACTUAL	FY2021-2022 ACTUAL	FY2022-2023 ACTUAL	FY2023-2024 BUDGET	FY2024-2025 REQUESTED	INC/(DEC)	% OF INC/(DEC)					
REVENUES TAXES													
10-31-100	GENERAL SALES & USE TAXES	1,756,774		1,966,185	1,947,620	1,967,096	19,476	1.00%	Taxable Values	658,667,455	658,667,455 I	Inc in Prop Tax Rate	e
10-31-110	GENERAL PROPERTY TAXES	350,008		935,795	961,736	,	26,265	2.73%	Tax Rate	0.001486	0.001500	0.000014	
10-31-120	FRANCHISE TAX	347,566		417,108	354,238	400,000	45,762	12.92%	Property Tax Rev.	978,780	988,001	0.94%	
10-31-130 10-31-145	REDEMPTIONS PUBLIC SAFETY - BEER TAX	8,931 0		15,143 16,254	6,000 0	10,000 0	4,000	66.67% 0.00%		- 978,780	0.00%	% of Increase	432000
10-31-145	VEHICLE TAX FEE IN LIEU	29,530	-	58,890	31,000	41,000	10,000	32.26%		310,100			360000
10-31-160	TRANSPORTATION TAXES	144,911		165,141	150,000	150,000	0	0.00%	Home Value	416,000	416,000		72000
10-31-170	RAMP TAX	0	7,036	0	7,036	8,036	1,000	14.21%	Tax Rate	0.001486	0.001500		
	TOTAL TAXES	2,637,720	3,034,949	3,574,516	3,457,630	3,564,133	106,503	3.08%	Property Tax	\$618.18	\$624.00		
LICENSES &	DEDMITS								Increase Per Year	=	\$5.82	0.94%	
10-32-200	BUILDING PERMITS	44,872	67,442	98,867	70,000	100,000	30,000	42.86%					
10-32-205	PLAN CHECK FEE	22,317		59,656	40,000	50,000	10,000	25.00%					
10-32-210	BUSINESS LICENSES	48,313		49,172	51,000	51,000	0	0.00%					
10-32-230	PLAN APPLICATION FEES-ZONING	12,961	32,703	45,694	30,000	30,000	0	0.00%					
10-32-235	ENCROACHMENT PERMIT FEES	6,850		54,925	20,000	20,000	0	0.00%					
10-32-240	PARK IMPACT FEES	5,217	16,804	57,438	17,000	20,000	3,000	17.65%					
10-32-260 10-32-270	TRANSPORTATION IMPACT FEES PUBLIC SAFETY IMPACT FEES	1,908 1,053		21,673 14,945	6,400 3,500	10,000 5,000	3,600 1,500	56.25% 42.86%					
10-32-270	TOTAL LICENSES & PERMITS	143,491	237,142	402,370	237,900	286,000	48,100	20.22%					
			201,112	102,010	201,000	200,000	10,100	20.2270					
INTERGOVE	RNMENTAL REVENUE												
10-33-100	STATE LIQUOR FUNDS	9,928		10,465	11,000	11,000	0	0.00%					
10-33-150	SEAT BELT/EUDL	0	0	8,505	16,000	16,000	0		Alcohol Enforcement				
10-33-200 10-33-300	CLASS C ROAD FUNDS GRANTS	286,526 14,316		297,174 60,964	260,000 341,904	260,000 83,000	0 -258,904	0.00%	\$71,000 COPS Grant (Doxstand	dor) \$12,000 Hug	nav Safaty Gra	at fior Comorae	
10-33-399	ARPA REVENUE	373,598		406,653	0 1,304	05,000	-230,304	0.00%	\$71,000 COI O Clain (Doxstan	αθη), φτ2,000 παξ	griway Galety Grai	nt nor Gameras	
	TOTAL INTERGOVERNMENTAL	684,368		783,761	628,904	370,000	-258,904	-41.17%					
	OR SERVICES												
10-34-400 10-34-700	PARK & CABIN RESERVATIONS YOUTH BASEBALL - RECREATION	1,235 4,439		16,381 4,989	12,000 5,000	12,000 5,000	0 0	0.00% 0.00%					
10-34-700	YOUTH BASEBALL - RECREATION	2.277		3,970	5,000	5,000	0	0.00%					
10 01 110	TOTAL CHARGES FOR SERVICES	7,951	22,196	25,340	22,000	22,000	0	0.00%					
FINES & FOR													
10-35-510 10-35-520	FINES WARRANT SERVICE	119,151 50		135,884 0	140,000 0	140,000	0	0.00% 0.00%					
10-35-520	PUBLIC DEFENDER FEES	1,103		255	1,000	500	-500	-50.00%					
10-35-550	CODE ENFORCEMENT FINES	0	7,746	0	0	0	000	0.00%					
	TOTAL FINES & FORFEITURES	120,304	147,860	136,139	141,000	140,500	-500	-0.35%					
MISCELLANE 10-36-440	EOUS REVENUE HORIZONS BOOK SALES	55	20	0	0	0	0	0.00%					
10-36-450	MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	42.095		116,944	120,000	100,000	-20,000	-16.67%					
10-36-460	HERITAGE DAYS CELEBRATION	42,033	2,250	2,550	120,000	100,000	-20,000	0.00%					
10-36-470	YOUTH CITY COUNCIL	0	26	2,000	0	0	0	0.00%					
10-36-600	INTEREST EARNED	5,483		108,140	42,500	120,000	77,500	182.35%					
10-36-602	CLASS C ROAD INTEREST	5,029		45,929	20,000	30,000	10,000	50.00%					
10-36-603	TRANSPORTATION TAXES INTEREST	2,213		24,420	5,000	15,000	10,000	200.00%					
10-36-604	PARK IMPACT INTEREST	1,770 772		11,539	4,000	10,000	6,000	150.00%					
10-36-608 10-36-610	TRANSPORTATION IMPACT INTEREST PUBLIC SAFETY IMPACT INTEREST	452		5,037 3,628	2,000 1,000	2,500 2,000	500 1,000	25.00% 100.00%					
10-36-611	ARPA INTEREST	432	498	8,282	1,000	2,000	1,000	0.00%					
10-36-800	SALE OF ASSETS	0	654,005	0,202	0	0	0	0.00%					
	TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	57,869	799,334	326,469	194,500	279,500	85,000	43.70%					

**CONTRIBUTIONS & TRANSFERS** 

ΤΟΤΑ	_ GENERAL FUND REVENUES	3,651,703	4,536,960	5,248,595	5,943,600	5,529,249	-414,350	-6.97%
	TOTALS CONTRIBUTIONS & TRANSFE	0	0	0	1,261,666	867,116	-394,550	-31.279
10-39-	990 USE OF RESERVE - PARK IMPACT FEE	0	0	0	314,558	0	-314,558	-100.009
10-39-	980 USE OF TRANSPORTATION IMPACT FE	0	0	0	150,000	58,300	-91,700	-61.139
10-39-	970 USE OF TRANSPORTATION TAXES	0	0	0	1,837	1,837	0	0.00
10-39-	960 USE OF RESERVE - CLASS C ROADS	0	0	0	312,851	200,000	-112,851	-36.079
10-39-	950 USE OF FUND BALANCE	0	0	0	482,420	606,979	124,559	25.829

#### FUND 10 - GENERAL FUND MAYOR & COUNCIL

ACCOUNT	ACCOUNT TITLE	FY2020-2021 ACTUAL	FY2021-2022 ACTUAL	FY2022-2023 ACTUAL	FY2023-2024 BUDGET	2024-2025 REQUESTED	INC/(DEC)	% OF INC/(DEC)
10-41-110	SALARIES & WAGES	15,642	17,050	18,694	19,004	19,504	500	2.63%
10-41-150	UNIFORM ALLOWANCE	0	0	26	500	500	0	0.00%
10-41-199	ARPA WAGES	0	0	1,183	0	0	0	0.00%
10-41-200	EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	1,446	12,224	2,149	2,061	2,118	57	2.77%
10-41-210	INCENTIVES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
10-41-330	TRAVEL & TRAINING	2,023	982	3,886	10,000	10,000	0	0.00%
10-41-380	ENTERTAINMENT & FOOD EXP	249	356	183	1,500	1,500	0	0.00%
10-41-600	YOUTH COUNCIL	0	2,003	800	6,000	6,000	0	0.00%
10-41-640	SUBSCRIPTIONS & MEMBERSHIF	3,877	4,241	4,746	5,000	5,000	0	0.00%
тс	TAL MAYOR & COUNCIL	23,237	36,856	31,667	44,065	44,622	557	1.26%

#### FUND 21 - FOUR MILE SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT

DESCRIPTION	FY2020-2021 ACTUAL	FY2021-2022 ACTUAL	FY2022-2023 ACTUAL	FY2023-2024 BUDGET	FY2024-2025 REQUESTED	INC/(DEC)	% OF INC/(DEC)	
DESCRIPTION	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BODGET	REQUESTED		INC/(DEC)	
REVENUES								
21-30-600 INTEREST	(2)	27	54	0	0	0	0.00%	
21-30-720 SERVICE / UTILITY / CONNECTION FEE		0	14,250	14,250	15,000	750	5.26%	
TOTAL REVENUES	(2)	27	14,304	14,250	15,000	750	5.26%	
EXPENDITURES								
21-62-400 ENGINEERING	6,150	2,618	69	0	0	0	0.00%	
21-62-700 EQUIPMENT	0	0	0	0	38,000	38,000	100.00%	Purchase Water Sha
21-62-750 SYSTEM MAINTENANCE	0	0	0	12,000	12,000	0	0.00%	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	6,150	2,618	69	12,000	50,000	38,000	316.67%	
TOTAL FOUR MILE SPECIAL S.D. FUN	(6,152)	(2,591)	14,235	2,250	(35,000)	(37,250)	-1655.56%	

### FUND 10 - GENERAL FUND JUSTICE COURT

ACCOUNT	ACCOUNT TITLE	FY2020-2021 ACTUAL	FY2021-2022 ACTUAL	FY2022-2023 ACTUAL	FY2023-2024 BUDGET	FY2024-2025 REQUESTED	INC/(DEC)	% OF INC/(DEC)
Account		AUTUAL	ACIUAL	ACTORE	DODOLI	REQUEUTED		
10-42-110	SALARIES & WAGES	59,949	74,620	81,247	87,482	61,446	(26,036)	-29.76%
10-42-130	OVERTIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
10-42-150	UNIFORM ALLOWANCE	0	0	0	100	100	0	0.00%
10-42-199	ARPA WAGES	0	0	2,440	0	0	0	0.00%
10-42-200	EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	35,124	25,755	20,042	48,827	41,562	(7,265)	-14.88%
10-42-330	TRAVEL & TRAINING	0	479	2,119	2,000	2,000	0	0.00%
10-42-600	<b>OFFICE SUPPLIES &amp; EXPENSES</b>	260	102	773	1,000	1,000	0	0.00%
10-42-620	COURT WARRANT SERVICES	-88	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
10-42-621	COURT WITNESS FEES	0	19	0	500	500	0	0.00%
10-42-622	INTERPRETER	757	1,381	1,833	1,500	2,000	500	33.33%
10-42-630	COURT LEGAL SERVICES	5,670	6,900	18,570	25,200	30,000	4,800	19.05%
10-42-640	BOOKS, SUBSCRIPT, MEMBERSH	769	4,584	4,561	5,000	5,000	0	0.00%
10-42-650	VIDEO ARRAIGNMENT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
	TOTAL JUSTICE COURT	102,441	113,840	131,585	171,609	143,608	-28,001	-16.32%

# FUND 10 - GENERAL FUND

#### ADMINISTRATION

ACCOUNT		FY2020-2021	FY2021-2022	FY2022-2023	FY2023-2024	FY2024-2025		
ACCOUNT	ACCOUNT TITLE	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	REQUESTED	INC/(DEC)	INC/(DEC)
10-44-110	SALARIES & WAGES	95,747	153,428	226,927	319,674	319,289	(385)	-0.12%
10-44-120	SALARIES & WAGES - TEMP/PART-TI	51,323	57,029	25,581	27,800	28,346	546	1.96%
10-44-150	UNIFORM ALLOWANCE	0	0	167	1,000	1,000	0	0.00%
10-44-199	ARPA WAGES	5,602	195	98,733	0	0	0	0.00%
10-44-200	EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	104,473	123,390	143,660	202,852	203,345	493	0.24%
10-44-300	ENGINEERING SERVICES	57,257	55,659	-13,312	55,000	55,000	0	0.00%
10-44-320	ELECTION COSTS	0	3,105	4,994	7,000	7,000	0	0.00%
10-44-330	TRAVEL & TRAINING	449	2,606	7,533	10,000	10,000	0	0.00%
10-44-380	ENTERTAINMENT & FOOD EXP	440	735	1,016	2,500	2,500	0	0.00%
10-44-500	PAYMENTS TO MOTOR POOL	4,272	4,272	4,269	7,000	7,350	350	5.00%
10-44-540	PUBLIC NOTICES, ADVERTISING	2,369	0	1,560	2,500	3,000	500	20.00%
10-44-590	FUEL	60	47	0	500	500	0	0.00%
10-44-600	OFFICE SUPPLIES & EXPENSE	4,657	6,212	4,340	5,000	5,000	0	0.00%
10-44-602	COPIER MAINTENANCE	938	1,123	995	1,500	1,500	0	0.00%
10-44-610	BANK FEES	16,538	4,905	1,601	2,500	2,500	0	0.00%
10-44-620	POSTAGE	1,920	1,445	2,289	2,500	2,500	0	0.00%
10-44-630	LEGAL SERVICES	0	6,351	7,450	25,000	25,000	0	0.00%
10-44-640	BOOKS, SUBSCRIPT, MEMBERSHIPS	6,029	4,345	5,555	5,000	5,000	0	0.00%
10-44-700	SPECIAL DEPT. EXPENDITURES	0	402	868	1,000	1,000	0	0.00%
10-44-740	EQUIPMENT	0	0	947	3,000	3,000	0	0.00%
10-44-741	COMPUTER EQUIPMENT	10,381	9,406	5,019	5,000	5,000	0	0.00%
	TOTAL ADMINISTRATION	362,455	434,655	530,192	686,326	687,830	1,504	0.22%

#### FUND 10 - GENERAL FUND NON-DEPARTMENTAL

ACCOUNT	ACCOUNT TITLE	FY2020-2021 ACTUAL	FY2021-2022 ACTUAL	FY2022-2023 ACTUAL	FY2023-2024 BUDGET	FY2024-2025 REQUESTED	INC/(DEC)	% OF INC/(DEC)
ACCOUNT		ACTORE	ACIUAL	ACTUAL	DODGET	REQUESTED		INO/(DEO)
10-45-220	SENIOR CITIZENS	0	0	220	1,200	1,200	0	0.00%
10-45-301	COMPUTER SERVICES	28,395	44,208	46,560	48,000	55,000	7,000	14.58%
10-45-302	CITY WEBSITE MAINTENANCE	190	0	0	450	450	0	0.00%
10-45-303	CITY NEWSLETTER	3,981	3,301	2,969	4,500	4,000	(500)	-11.11%
10-45-304	COMCAST	4,951	4,481	3,744	6,500	6,500	0	0.00%
10-45-310	AUDIT & ACCOUNTING SERVICES	6,330	6,462	6,300	15,000	15,000	0	0.00%
10-45-410	UTILITIES - CITY BUILDING	38,509	52,365	11,265	40,000	15,000	(25,000)	-62.50%
10-45-520	LIABILITY/PROPERTY INSURANCE	50,965	57,025	59,102	59,000	62,000	3,000	5.08%
10-45-530	TELEPHONE, CELL PHONES, HOT SP	17,497	18,263	19,358	18,000	21,000	3,000	16.67%
10-45-602	PRINTING SERVICES	0	0	0	100	100	0	0.00%
10-45-660	ANIMAL CONTROL	44,096	45,976	49,776	46,000	49,000	3,000	6.52%
10-45-700	MISCELLANEOUS	1,106	138,840	2,096	1,000	3,000	2,000	200.00%
10-45-701	SHREDDING	1,132	481	878	1,000	1,000	0	0.00%
10-45-750	CITY HISTORY GRANT	1,120	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
10-45-760	CODIFICATION SERVICES	1,500	1,800	1,930	1,800	2,000	200	11.11%
10-45-770	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES-STUDIES	0	59	0	10,000	10,000	0	0.00%
10-45-999	ARPA EXPENDITURES	354,358	48,394	40,932	0	0	0	0.00%
TOTAL NON-DEPARTMENTAL		554,130	421,655	245,130	252,550	245,250	-7,300	-2.89%

#### FUND 10 - GENERAL FUND POLICE

ACCOUNT	ACCOUNT TITLE	FY2020-2021 ACTUAL	FY2021-2022 ACTUAL	FY2022-2023 ACTUAL	FY2023-2024 BUDGET	FY2024-2025 REQUESTED	INC/(DEC)	% OF INC/(DEC)
10-51-110	SALARIES & WAGES	376,527	710,080	846,594	1,057,095		70,167	6.64%
10-51-120	SALARIES & WAGES - TEMP/PART-TIME	16,142	7,286	10,538	20,000	20,000	0	0.00%
10-51-121	COURT SECURITY WAGES	1,115	627	2,310	10,500	12,075	1,575	15.00%
10-51-130	OVERTIME/HOLIDAY	-6,793	-7,593	0	32,000	32,000	0	0.00%
10-51-199	ARPA WAGES	235,465	0	208,340	0	0	0	0.00%
10-51-200	EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	423,311	450,591	477,385	743,783	785,042	41,259	5.55%
10-51-300	TECHNICAL SERVICES	14,807	16,597	17,514	20,210	22,000	1,790	8.86%
10-51-305	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	1,033	499	143	1,500	1,500	0	0.00%
10-51-310	NARCOTICS STRIKE FORCE	6,696	6,510	7,036	7,500	7,500	0	0.00%
10-51-330	TRAVEL & TRAINING	4,812	5,703	10,358	17,000	19,000	2,000	11.76%
10-51-430	EQUIPMENT REPAIR & MAINTENANCE	12,710	21,295	18,300	35,900	35,900	0	0.00%
10-51-440	PUBLIC SAFETY IMPACT FEE EXPENDITUR	0	0	4,763	0	0	0	0.00%
10-51-500	MOTOR POOL PAYMENTS	78,300	98,136	76,806	88,295	111,966	23,671	26.81%
10-51-520	BIKE PATROL / CANINE	0	0	0	0	1,500	1,500	100.00%
10-51-550	NAP	425	425	425	450	450	0	0.00%
10-51-560	LEXIPOL & ACREDITATION	7,041	0	5,023	6,000	6,000	0	0.00%
10-51-590	GASOLINE	25,108	44,168	36,586	55,000	55,000	0	0.00%
10-51-600	OFFICE SUPPLIES & EXPENSES	3,715	3,553	7,654	8,500	8,500	0	0.00%
10-51-602	PRINTING SERVICES	456	621	514	1,500	2,000	500	33.33%
10-51-615	UNIFORM ALLOWANCE	7,259	6,355	7,669	18,000	20,000	2,000	11.11%
10-51-620	AMMO	2,947	3,086	4,415	4,250	5,000	750	17.65%
10-51-640	SUBSCRIPTION & MEMBERSHIPS	200	600	630	1,500	1,800	300	20.00%
10-51-655	DRONE MAINTENANCE & SUPPLIES	0	0	0	0	1,500	1,500	100.00%
10-51-660	LIVE 911	0	0	0	5,000		5,000	100.00%
10-51-665	MDC/AIRCARD	5,290	5,611	5,838	6,980	8,000	1,020	14.61%
10-51-735	GRANT EXPENDITURES	6,815	16,696	11,968	0	0	0	0.00%
10-51-740	EQUIPMENT	11,723	12,958	33,537	82,000	40,000	(42,000)	-51.22%
10-51-741	COMPUTER EQUIPMENT	9,473	1,660	20,414	20,000		0	0.00%
10-51-742	VERSATERM MAINTENANCE	0	0	0	5,000	6,000	1,000	20.00%
10-51-743	BODY ARMOR	1,262	6,213	4,052	9,000	9,000	0	0.00%
10-51-745	ALCOHOL ENFORCEMENT-BEER TAX	0	20,324	2,074	0	0	0	0.00%
10-51-746	SEAT BELT	524	512	0	0		1,500	100.00%
10-51-747	EUDL	0	0	0	0	500	500	100.00%
10-51-748	OTHER MISCELLANEOUS	0	-104	629	5,000		0	0.00%
10-51-749	ALCOHOL ENFORCEMENT/HWY SAFETY	0	0	1,805	0	.,	1,500	100.00%
10-51-750	SWAT	1,275	1,164	1,178	1,500		0	0.00%
	TOTAL POLICE	1,247,638	1,433,573	1,824,498	2,263,463	2,373,995	115,532	5.10%

Asking for 3-new vehicles; hiring of new FTE ee using the grant; new cars will be here in april instead of July.

Drone Maint & supplies added to take care of drones Drone sense program "live feed" \$3,000/yr. Police cars are being sent to auction

# FUND 10 - GENERAL FUND BUILDING INSPECTION / PLANNING

		FY2020-2021	FY2021-2022	FY2022-2023	FY2023-2024	FY2024-2025		% OF	
ACCOUNT	ACCOUNT TITLE	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	REQUESTED	INC/(DEC)	INC/(DEC)	_
10-56-110	SALARIES & WAGES	0	0	0	0	29,505	29,505	100.00%	50% shared with planning
10-56-120	SALARIES & WAGES - TEMP/PART-TIN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	
10-56-200	EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	0	0	0	0	3,211	3,211	100.00%	
10-56-240	PLANNING COMMISSION REIMBURSE	2,550	2,350	2,500	3,600	3,600	0	0.00%	
10-56-250	PROFESSIONAL PLANNER	21,134	-9,790	0	5,000	10,000	5,000	100.00%	
10-56-260	BUILDING INSPECTIONS	0	15,713	13,640	25,000	25,000	0	0.00%	
10-56-306	PLAN CHECKS	10,780	5,910	13,225	10,000	20,000	10,000	100.00%	
10-56-330	TRAVEL & TRAINING	0	570	0	1,000	1,000	0	0.00%	
10-56-640	SUBSCRIPTIONS & MEMBERSHIPS	0	0	130	0	0	0	0.00%	
10-56-750	CODE ENFORCEMENT	138	1,370	770	1,500	1,500	0	0.00%	_
TOTAL E	BUILDING INSPECTION / PLANNING	34,602	16,123	30,265	46,100	93,816	47,716	103.51%	

### FUND 10 - GENERAL FUND PUBLIC WORKS / MAINTENANCE

ACCOUNT	ACCOUNT TITLE	FY2020-2021 ACTUAL	FY2021-2022 ACTUAL	FY2022-2023 ACTUAL	FY2023-2024 BUDGET	FY2024-2025 REQUESTED	INC/(DEC)	% OF INC/(DEC)	
40.04.440		170.040	445 004	440.050	440.000	440.070	F 440	4 700/	
10-61-110	SALARIES & WAGES	178,946	115,064	110,258	112,960	118,376	5,416	4.79%	
10-61-130	OVERTIME	128	-66	149	3,150	3,150	0	0.00%	
10-61-150		467	1,000	1,179	1,500	1,800	300	20.00%	
10-61-199	ARPA WAGES	-1,188	462	28,699	0	0	0	0.00%	
10-61-200	EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	106,576	65,246	31,390	52,941	54,007	1,066	2.01%	
10-61-330	TRAVEL & TRAINING	572	4,287	3,291	5,000	6,000	1,000		APWA Conference & Tristate Conference
10-61-410	BLUE STAKES	1,362	891	0	0	1,500	1,500	100.00%	
10-61-425	TOOLS	2,818	3,058	1,005	1,000	1,000	0	0.00%	
10-61-430	CITY BUILDING CUSTODIAL	14,911	5,760	5,511	7,000	8,000	1,000	14.29%	
10-61-430	EQUIPMENT REPAIR & MAINTENANCE	13,091	12,655	17,358	20,000	30,000	10,000	50.00%	
10-61-431	BUILDINGS & GROUNDS	16,262	13,439	33,465	35,000	35,000	0		All Buildings and grounds except custodial
10-61-433	EQUIPMENT PURCHASE	169	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	
10-61-434	MIDIA	0	0	0	1,000	1,000	0	0.00%	
10-61-435	SIDEWALK / ROAD REPAIRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	
10-61-440	CLASS C ROAD EXPENSES	245,315	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	
10-61-450	STREET REPAIR & PATCHING	1,215	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	
10-61-459	SNOW REMOVAL - MATERIAL	8,833	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	
10-61-460	SNOW REMOVAL - OVERTIME	2,397	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	
10-61-470	SIGNS	1,483	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	
10-61-480	TRANSPORTATION TAX EXPENDITURE	4,339	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	
10-61-490	TRANSPORATION IMPACT FEE EXPENS	17,075	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	
10-61-500	MOTOR POOL PAYMENTS	18,000	33,684	0	0	0	0	0.00%	
10-61-540	EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT	7,561	7,049	3,812	7,000	0	(7,000)	-100.00%	
10-61-590	FUEL	16,478	20,840	32,263	25,000	0	(25,000)	-100.00%	
10-61-735	GRANT EXPENDITURES	2,000	0	0	0	0	Ó	0.00%	
10-61-810	CABIN UTILITIES	2,104	2,498	4,680	2,500	3,000	500	20.00%	
10-61-820	CABIN REPAIRS & MAINT / FURNISHING		1,843	17,287	10,000	5,000	(5,000)	-50.00%	
10-61-830	CABIN CLEANING	0	1,431	5,077	8,000	7,000	(1,000)	-12.50%	
	PUBLIC WORKS / MAINTENANCE	662,666	289,141	295,424	292,051	274,833	-17,218	-5.90%	

#### FUND 10 - GENERAL FUND

PUBLIC WORKS / ROADS

ACCOUNT	ACCOUNT TITLE	FY2020-2021 ACTUAL	FY2021-2022 ACTUAL	FY2022-2023 ACTUAL	FY2023-2024 BUDGET	FY2024-2025 REQUESTED	INC/(DEC)	% OF INC/(DEC)	
		_							
10-63-110	SALARIES & WAGES	0	0	50,639	,	,	1,228	1.19%	
10-63-130	OVERTIME	0	0	618	3,150	3,180	30	0.95%	
10-63-150	UNIFORM ALLOWANCE	0	0	574	2,000	2,500	500	25.00%	
10-63-200	EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	0	0	43,987	82,249	83,167	918	1.12%	F
10-63-330	TRAVEL & TRAINING	0	0	86	3,500	3,500	0	0.00%	
10-63-425	TOOLS	0	0	964	2,000	2,000	0	0.00%	
10-63-430	EQUIPMENT REPAIR & MAINTENANCE	0	0	958	0	0	0	0.00%	
10-63-433	EQUIPMENT PURCHASE	0	0	120,200	75,000	50,000	(25,000)	-33.33%	
10-63-435	SIDEWALK / ROAD REPAIRS	0	4,635	5,655	25,000	25,000	0	0.00%	
10-63-440	CLASS C ROAD EXPENSES	0	102,266	68,231	0	0	0	0.00%	
10-63-450	STREET REPAIR & PATCHING	0	0	74,652	125,000	75,000	(50,000)	-40.00%	
10-63-459	SNOW REMOVAL - MATERIAL	0	5,360	18,755	20,000	25,000	5,000	25.00%	
10-63-460	SNOW REMOVAL - OVERTIME	0	477	5,500	5,000	6,000	1,000	20.00%	
10-63-470	SIGNS	0	1,530	5,033	5,000	7,500	2,500	50.00%	
10-63-480	TRANSPORTATION TAX EXPENDITURE	0	78,985	38,097	0	1,837	1,837	100.00%	
10-63-490	TRANSPORATION IMPACT FEE EXPEN	0	8,056	95,156	0	58,300	58,300	0.00%	I
10-63-500	MOTOR POOL PAYMENTS	0	0	24,432	73,688	65,994	(7,694)	-10.44%	
10-63-590	FUEL	0	0	0	25,000	30,000	5,000	20.00%	
10-63-735	GRANT EXPENDITURES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	
10-63-751	UDOT GRANT	0	0	0	309,904	0	(309,904)	-100.00%	
	OTAL PUBLIC WORKS / ROADS	0	201,309	553,537	859,348		-316,285	-36.81%	

Renting machine to do crack seal in-house in FY25

Property Acquisition - Wahlen

### FUND 10 - GENERAL FUND PARKS & RECREATION

ACCOUNT	ACCOUNT TITLE	FY2020-2021 ACTUAL	FY2021-2022 ACTUAL	FY2022-2023 ACTUAL	FY2023-2024 BUDGET	FY2024-2025 REQUESTED	INC/(DEC)	% OF INC/(DEC)	
10-71-110	SALARIES & WAGES	167,030	200,506	226,073	268,156	282,715	14,559	5.43%	
10-71-120	PART-TIME WAGES - RECREATION	4,758	16,909	9,322	19,476	20,000	524	2.69%	
10-71-121	PART-TIME WAGES - SEASONAL	7,364	0	0	0	14,000	14,000	0.00%	\$14,000 for a part-time summer help ee - NEW request FY25
10-71-130	OVERTIME	63	0	278	4,725	4,725	0	0.00%	
10-71-150	UNIFORM ALLOWANCE	731	1,382	1,797	1,600	1,600	0	0.00%	
10-71-199	ARPA WAGES	1,307	0	60,182	0	0	0	0.00%	
10-71-200	EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	106,527	104,352	116,772	163,790	171,154	7,364	4.50%	
10-71-250	EQUIPMENT	2,543	2,389	1,919	5,000	5,000	0	0.00%	
10-71-260	BUILDINGS & GROUNDS	8,333	9,511	9,737	10,000	16,500	6,500	65.00%	Increase for broad leaf control by a contracted company
10-71-330	TRAVEL & TRAINING	0	2,037	4,082	7,692	7,692	0	0.00%	
10-71-410	UTILITIES - RECREATION	3,544	5,078	8,165	17,500	21,500	4,000	22.86%	
10-71-430	FIELD MAINTENANCE	2,053	2,114	313	2,000	2,000	0	0.00%	
10-71-500	SPLASH PAD SUPPLIES / MAINT.	7,070	5,951	5,505	7,000	23,005	16,005	228.64%	Splash Pad Feature Replacement \$16,005
10-71-510	MOTOR POOL PAYMENTS	0	27,576	27,576	23,386	25,157	1,771	7.57%	
10-71-590	FUEL	0	0	0	5,400	5,400	0	0.00%	
10-71-600	OFFICE SUPPLIES & EXPENSES	0	0	957	2,500	2,500	0	0.00%	
10-71-623	YOUTH BASEBALL / SOFTBALL	3,679	5,911	4,757	7,000	12,000	5,000	71.43%	Replacement of Baseball/Softball Equipment \$5,000
10-71-625	ORION JR HIGH	0	0	600	2,400	13,000	10,600	441.67%	Orion for BB services increase of \$11,200
10-71-628	YOUTH BASKETBALL	735	0	456	3,640	4,268	628	17.25%	
10-71-630	SANTA AT THE CABIN	0	702	695	1,200	1,600	400	33.33%	
10-71-631	EASTER EGG HUNT	0	660	800	1,400	1,400	0	0.00%	
10-71-632	FALL FESTIVAL	1,165	2,291	4,532	3,500	4,000	500	14.29%	
10-71-640	BOOKS, SUBSCRIPT, MEMBERSHIPS	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,300	300	15.00%	
10-71-733	MOVIIES IN THE PARK	0	0	7,814	5,280	5,280	0	0.00%	
10-71-738	FIREWORKS	5,360	5,300	5,300	8,500	7,000	(1,500)	-17.65%	
10-71-770	CORN HOLE	0	0	229	800	0	(800)	-100.00%	
10-71-780	COMMUNITY FORESTRY - FALL FESTIV		1,000	7,700	6,000	6,000	0	0.00%	
10-71-800	PARK IMPACT FEE EXPENSE	92,828	41,011	0	314,558	15,000	(299,558)	-95.23%	
10-71-840	IRRIGATION & SECONDARY	6,385	,	8,141	6,400	6,400	0	0.00%	
10-71-850	MISCELLANEOUS	6,112	14,612	11,620	28,643	32,000	3,357	11.72%	
10-71-855	SPECIAL DEPARTMENT ALLOWANCE	0	0	0	1,000	1,000	0	0.00%	
10-71-910	RAMP EXPENDITURES	6,676	7,263	8,571	7,200	8,036	836	11.61%	
Т	OTAL PARKS & RECREATION	436,073	465,243	535,893	937,746	722,232	(215,514)	-22.98%	

# FUND 10 - GENERAL FUND

### **CONTRIBUTIONS / RESERVES**

ACCOUNT	ACCOUNT TITLE	FY2020-2021 ACTUAL	FY2021-2022 ACTUAL	FY2022-2023 ACTUAL	FY2023-2024 BUDGET	FY2024-2025 REQUESTED	INC/(DEC)	% OF INC/(DEC)	
								· · · ·	
10-90-100	INCREASE IN FUND BALANCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	
10-90-200	RESERVE PARK IMPACT FEES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	
10-90-210	RESERVE TRANSPORTATION IMPACT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	
10-90-220	RESERVE PUBLIC SAFETY IMPACT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	
10-90-230	RESERVE TRANSPORTATION TAXES	0	0	0	2,000	0	(2,000)	-100.00%	
10-90-300	RESERVE FIRE IMPACT FEES	0	0	0	0	0	Ó	0.00%	
10-90-400	RESERVE CLASS C ROAD FUNDS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	
10-90-900	TRANSFER TO DEBT SERVICE FUND 7	, O	133,057	725,342	388,342	400,000	11,658	3.00% \$	450,000 Inc for Bond Issuance
тот	AL CONTRIBUTIONS / RESERVES	0	133,057	725,342	390,342	400,000	-2,000	-0.51%	

# FUND 30 - DEBT/BONDS

DESCRIPTION	FY2020-2021 ACTUAL	FY2021-2022 ACTUAL	FY2022-2023 ACTUAL	FY2023-2024 BUDGET	FY2024-2025 REQUESTED	INC/(DEC)	% OF INC/(DEC)
REVENUES							
30-30-300 TRANSFER FROM GENERAL FUND	0	0	725,342	430,000	400,000	(30,000)	-6.98%
30-30-305 TRANSFER FROM SEWER FUND	0	0	277,400	75,000	75,000	Ó	0.00%
30-30-310 TRANSFER FROM STORM WATER FUN	0	0	0	500,000	200,000	(300,000)	-60.00%
30-30-600 INTEREST EARNINGS	0	0	0	174,000	430,000	256,000	147.13%
30-30-800 BOND PROCEEDS	0	6,000,000	9,000,000	9,000,000	0	(9,000,000)	-100.00%
30-30-805 BOND PROCEED INTEREST EARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
TOTAL REVENUES	0	6,000,000	10,002,742	10,179,000	1,105,000	(9,074,000)	-89.14%
EXPENDITURES							
30-43-910 UTILITY BOND PRINCIPAL PAYMENT	0	0	240,000	579,000	914,000	335,000	57.86%
30-43-920 UTILITY BOND INTEREST PAYMENT	0	0	180,781	551,579	580,806	29,227	5.30%
30-43-930 UTILITY BOND FEES	0	0	0	5,000	5,000	0	0.00%
30-43-935 BOND ISSUANCE FEES	0	26,602	31,750	25,000	25,000	0	0.00%
30-43-990 TRANSFER TO CAPITAL PROJECTS FUL	0	0	0	9,000,000	0	(9,000,000)	-100.00%
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	0	26,602	452,531	10,160,579	1,524,806	(8,635,773)	-84.99%
TOTAL DEBT FUND 30	0	5,973,398	9,550,211	18,421	(419,806)	(438,227)	-2378.95%

#### FUND 40 - CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND

DESCRIPTION	FY2020-2021 ACTUAL	FY2021-2022 ACTUAL	FY2022-2023 ACTUAL	FY2023-2024 BUDGET	FY2024-2025 REQUESTED	INC/(DEC)	% OF INC/(DEC)	
REVENUES								
MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE								
40-30-300 GRANTS	354,348	0	0	527,000	250,000	(277,000)	-52.56%	5
40-30-450 MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	0	0	0	0	0	Ó	0.00%	Ċ
40-30-600 INTEREST INCOME	4,565	5,170	285,950	10,000	20,000	10,000	100.00%	
MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	358,913	5,170	285,950	537,000	270,000	(267,000)	-49.72%	
CONTRIBUTIONS & TRANSFERS								
10-39-100 TRANSFERS FROM GENERAL FUND	0	0	0	0	500,000	500,000	100.00%	
0-39-700 TRANSFERS FROM DEBT SERVICE FL	0	0	6,000,000	9,000,000	0	(9,000,000)	-100.00%	
TOTAL CONTRIBUTIONS & TRANSFER	0	0	6,000,000	9,000,000	500,000	(8,500,000)	-94.44%	
TOTAL REVENUES	358,913	5,170	6,285,950	9,537,000	770,000	(8,767,000)	-91.93%	
EXPENDITURES								
40-40-100 MISCELLANEOUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	
0-40-200 STREET/SIDEWALK PROJECTS	350.934	0	8,520			250,000	52.41%	- 1
0-40-300 PARKS & TRAILS	0	33,244	21.435			(61,695)	-61.70%	1
0-40-400 CAPITAL STUDIES	0	(5,150)	19,682	12,000	12,000	0	0.00%	
0-40-500 BUILDINGS/RENOVATIONS & REMODE	39,046	Ó	0	0	0	0	0.00%	
0-40-600 BUILDINGS - CONSTRUCTION	0	0	247,629	9,000,000	500,000	(8,500,000)	-94.44%	1
10-40-700 EQUIPMENT	4,500	2,890	53,144	0	0	0	0.00%	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	394,480	30,984	350,410	9,589,000	1,277,305	(8,311,695)	-86.68%	
TOTAL CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND	(35,567)	(25,814)	5,935,540	(52,000)	(507,305)	(455,305)	875.59%	
FUND BALANCE - BEGINNING OF YEA	1.080.015	1.044.448	1.018.634	6.954.174	6.902.174			

FUND BALANCE - BEGINNING OF YEAI FUND BALANCE - END OF YEAR 1,080,015 1,044,448 1,044,448 1,018,634 1,018,634 6,954,174 6,902,174 6,902,174 6,394,869 6,954,174

12,044

\$250,000 State Appropriation for US-89 project. Owner furnished equipment for PW bldg i.e. computers, office furniture, new tool boxes, upgrade the lift from the old bldg when it is moved to the new bldg; new generator.

New PW Bldg Generator

Incraswed \$250,000 for the US-89 waterline project. Parks Compound Shop Fence

Cell: M11 Comment: 750 W Complex - Design Build Bids were put out in December. R&O Construction won the bidding process. Construction Process has begun since we have to be out of the old PW Bldg by Dec 2024. Footings & foundations have started. Fill will need to be brought in. Geotech report has been completed.

Six bids were received for architect services on the city complex/PS/FD & it was awarded to Geotech.

Marcus Keller - partnership with Northview FD. Lease Revenue Bonds Option 1) 3M HVC issue bonds & would take over bonds if default occurs with connected bldg; 2) NVF would issue bonds of connected bldg & if they default HVC loses control of who controls the space; 3) NVF would purchase land from HVC & they design & bond for a new bldg (be independent). -Jessica Hardy

#### FUND 50 - SEWER

DESCRIPTION	FY2020-2021 ACTUAL	FY2021-2022 ACTUAL	FY2022-2023 ACTUAL	FY2023-2024 BUDGET	FY2024-2025 REQUESTED	INC/(DEC)	% OF INC/(DEC)	
								-
REVENUES								
50-30-200 SEWER CONNECTION INSPECTION	0	450	0	0	0	0	0.00%	,
50-30-240 SEWER IMPACT FEES	0	150	58,180	75,000	75,000	0	0.00%	150 HOMES
50-30-600 SEWER INTEREST RECEIVED	4,935	5,475	44,511	7,500	50,000	42,500	566.67%	,
50-30-602 SEWER IMPACT FEE INTEREST	0	0	440	0	0	0	0.00%	,
50-30-720 SEWER SERVICE FEES - HVC	605,704	695,243	322,548	342,005	359,105	17,100	5.00%	,
50-30-722 SEWER SERVICE FEES - CWS	(2)	0	414,198	475,200	513,216	38,016	8.00%	,
50-30-800 USE OF FUND BALANCE	0	0	141,183	0	275,000	275,000	0.00%	,
TOTAL REVENUES	610,637	701,318	981,060	899,705	1,272,321	372,616	41.42%	-
EXPENDITURES 50-62-110 SALARIES & WAGES	04.450	<u> </u>	05 475	400.004	07.047	(4.004)	4 4 5 0/	
	21,458	60,833	65,175	102,081		(4,234)	-4.15%	JAKE 100%
50-62-130 OVERTIME	0	0	0	0		0		
50-62-150 UNIFORM ALLOWANCE/SAFETY	0	0	459	1,000		500	50.00% 0.00%	
50-62-199 ARPA WAGES	v	0	7,294	0		0		
50-62-200 BENEFITS 50-62-300 PROFESSIONAL & TECHNICAL SERVICES	4,142	5,257	13,630	60,928	,	341	0.56% 0.00%	
	0	0	0	0		0	0.00%	
50-62-310 SEWER BILLING SERVICE CHARGE	13,051	8,689	7,272	15,000	,	0		
50-62-311 CENTRAL WEBER SEWER BILLING CHARG		0	10,313	15,000	,	5,000	33.33%	
50-62-330 TRAVEL & TRAINING 50-62-410 BLUE STAKES	0	0	332 904	1,500	,	500	33.33% 0.00%	
50-62-410 BLUE STAKES 50-62-425 TOOLS	0	0		1,500 2,500	,	0	0.00%	
50-62-425 TOOLS 50-62-433 EQUIPMENT PURCHASES	0	0	2,465 0	,	,			
50-62-433 EQUIPMENT PURCHASES 50-62-460 SEWAGE TREATMENT	-	-	-	0	,	350,000	1.33%	NEW LINE ITE Requesting \$350,000 increase in expenses
50-62-460 SEWAGE TREATMENT 50-62-710 IMPACT FEE EXPENDITURES	404,253 0	410,250		468,770		6,230	0.00%	
50-62-710 IMPACT FEE EXPENDITORES 50-62-750 SEWER SYSTEM MAINTENANCE	•	5,100	0	0		0	0.00%	
	58,242	67,969		70,000	,	0	0.00%	
50-62-850 INTERNAL INSPECTION 50-62-900 DEPRECIATION	45,211	43,976	32,938 30,797	65,000	,		33.70%	
50-62-900 DEPRECIATION 50-62-990 TRANSFER TO DEBT SERVICE - IMPACT FI	30,272	30,272		30,272		10,203		
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		0	277,400 893.048	75,000	,	0	0.00%	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	576,629	632,346	893,048	908,551	1,277,091	368,540	40.56%	<u>1</u>
TOTAL SEWER FUND	34,008	68,972	88,012	(8,846)	(4,770)	4,076	-46.08%	-
RETAINED EARNINGS - BEGINNING RETAINED EARNINGS - END OF YEAR		1,617,837	1,617,837 1,705,849	1,705,849 1,697,003	, ,			

CASH BALANCE AS OF FYE

1,090,570

#### FUND 53 - STORM WATER FUND

DESCRIPTION	FY2020-2021 ACTUAL	FY2021-2022 ACTUAL	FY2022-2023 ACTUAL	FY2023-2024 BUDGET	FY2024-2025 REQUESTED	INC/(DEC)	% OF INC/(DEC)	
REVENUES								
53-30-240 STORM WATER IMPACT FEES	5,108	17,081	84,473	375,000	62,500	(312,500)	-83 33%	150 HOMES
53-30-450 MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	2,604	650	0,0	0.0,000		(0.12,000)	0.00%	
53-30-600 STORM WATER INTEREST	1,269	1,907	14,783	2,500		12,500	500.00%	
53-30-602 STORM WATER IMPACT INTEREST	4,257	4,081	27,458	4,000	10,000	6,000	150.00%	
53-30-720 STORM WATER UTILITY FEES	236,812	356,374	357,286	375,000	393,750	18,750	5.00%	
53-30-740 CONTRUCTION ACTIVITY FEE	650	5,850	22,100	10,000	10,000	0	0.00%	150 UNITS @ \$650/BLDG PERMIT
53-30-800 USE OF FUND BALANCE	0	0	180,782	0	400,000	219,218	0.00%	<u> </u>
TOTAL REVENUES	250,700	385,944	686,882	766,500	891,250	(56,032)	-7.31%	-
EXPENDITURES								
53-62-100 SALARIES & WAGES	62,562	60,065	96,877	141,023		(3,884)		JESSIE & ART 100%
53-62-130 OVERTIME	0	0	0	0		0	0.00%	
53-62-150 UNIFORM ALLOWANCE/SAFETY	0	175	454	2,000		500	25.00%	
53-62-199 ARPA WAGES	3,821	115	13,910	0	-	0	0.00%	
53-62-200 BENEFITS	30,652	19,205	73,445	99,105	,	159	0.16%	
53-62-300 PROFESSIONAL & TECHNICAL SERVICES	0	1,339	(7,220)	0	-	0	0.00%	
53-60-310 STORM WATER BILLING CHARGE	13,051	8,380	18,057	15,000		0	0.00%	
53-62-330 TRAVEL & TRAINING	0	0	2,711	3,500	,	0	0.00%	
53-62-410 BLUE STAKES	0	0	358	1,500		0	0.00%	
53-62-400 ENGINEERING	476	0	1,250	0	-	0	0.00%	
53-62-425 TOOLS 53-62-300 EQUIPMENT	0	0	656	2,500		0	0.00% 163.16%	
53-62-500 EQUIPMENT 53-62-500 MOTOR POOL PAYMENTS	15,420	-	0	95,000 3,699	,	155,000	-100.00%	
53-62-500 MOTOR POOL PAYMENTS 53-62-600 STORM WATER MANAGEMENT	35,302	3,696 45,405	3,696 116,421	3,699 100,000		(3,699) (25,000)	-100.00%	
53-62-850 PIPE INSPECTION	35,302	45,405	4,727	40,000	,	(23,000) 10,000	-25.00%	
53-62-860 IMPACT FEE EXPENDITURES	0	74,585	60,394	40,000	,	10,000	0.00%	
53-62-900 DEPRECIATION	43,622	51,830	52,123	52,000	-	6,830	13.13%	
53-62-990 TRANSFER TO DEBT SERVICE - IMPACT FE		01,000	02,120	500,000		(300,000)		TRANSFER FOR 750 W PROJECT DEBT SERVICE PMNT
	204,906	264,795	437,859	1.055.327	,	(160,094)	-15.17%	-
	204,300	204,733	457,055	1,000,027	555,255	(150,054)	-13.17 /0	-
TOTAL STORM WATE FUND	45,794	121,149	249,023	(288,827)	(3,983)	104,062	-36.03%	-

# FUND 54 - STREET LIGHT FUND

DESCRIPTION	FY2020-2021 ACTUAL	FY2021-2022 ACTUAL	FY2022-2023 ACTUAL	FY2023-2024 BUDGET	FY2024-2025 REQUESTED	INC/(DEC)	% OF INC/(DEC)
REVENUES							
54-30-600 STREET LIGHT INTEREST	86	119	1,210	100	1,000	900	900.00%
54-30-720 STREET LIGHT SERVICE FEES	10,511	21,021	31,868	77,484	77,484	0	0.00%
TOTAL REVENUES	10,597	21,140	,	77,584	78,484	900	1.16%
EXPENDITURES							
54-62-310 STREET LIGHT BILLING CHARGE	0	0	1,117	0	2,000	2,000	100.00%
54-62-410 STREET LIGHT UTILITIES	0	0	15,145	40,000	50,000	10,000	25.00%
54-62-460 STREET LIGHT EXPENSE	0	6,447	20,070	20,000	20,000	0	0.00%
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	0	6,447	36,332	60,000	72,000	12,000	20.00%
TOTAL STREET LIGHT FUND	10,597	14,693	(3,254)	17,584	6,484	(11,100)	-63.13%
FUND BALANCE BEGINNING OF YEAR	18,348	28,945	43,638	40,384	57,968		
FUND BALANCE END OF YEAR	28,945	43,638	40,384	57,968			

### FUND 60 - GARBAGE FUND

DESCRIPTION	FY2020-2021 ACTUAL	FY2021-2022 ACTUAL	FY2022-2023 ACTUAL	FY2023-2024 BUDGET	FY2024-2025 REQUESTED	INC/(DEC)	% OF INC/(DEC)			OPOSED FEE	
REVENUES								1ST CAN	1,727	\$21.50	37,131
60-30-100 TRANSFERS FROM GENERAL FUND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	2ND CAN	824	\$21.50 \$21.50	17,716
60-30-600 INTEREST INCOME	0	0	(1,606)		0	0	0.00%	1ST RECYCLE	1,440	\$7.00	10,080
60-30-710 GARBAGE SERVICE FEES	355,321	359.770	403,739		659 159	229,873	53.67%	2ND RECYCLE	42	\$7.00	294
	,	, -	,	,	,	,		2ND RECTCLE	42	\$7.00	
60-30-715 RECYCLING SERVICE FEES	81,833	80,025	105,948	105,060	1	19,428	18.49%				65,221
TOTAL REVENUES	437,155	439,795	508,081	533,345	782,646	249,301	46.74%				12
									Ann	ual Revenue	782,646
EXPENDITURES											
60-52-310 GARBAGE BILLING CHARGE	13,051	8,015	11,748	17,438	31,634	14,196	81.41%				
60-52-440 GARBAGE/RECYCLE CHARGES	453,159	471,836	527,235	498,240	558,869	60,629	12.17%				
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	466,210	479,851	538,983	515,678	590,503	74,825	14.51%				
TOTAL GARBAGE FUND	(29,055)	(40,056)	(30,902)	17,667	192,143	174,476	987.58%				
TOTAL GANDAGE TOND		Per FY23 Audit	-70,958	,	132,143	174,470	307.3070				
		Fel F125 Auult	-70,956				¢54.0004			الم الما م	
					<b>A 11 A</b>			for the overage to the archite		blag	
			CURRENT	PROPOSED	\$ INC	% INC	\$	75,000 from the GF to "baild	out" the GB		
		1ST CAN	\$19.74	\$21.50	\$1.76	8.92%					
		2ND CAN	\$8.43	\$21.50	\$13.07	155.04%					
		<b>1ST RECYCLE</b>	\$6.41	\$7.00	\$0.59	9.20%					
		2ND RECYCLE	\$6.42	\$7.00	\$0.58	9.03%					

# FUND 61 - MOTOR POOL FUND

DESCRIPTION	FY2020-2021 ACTUAL	FY2021-2022 ACTUAL	FY2022-2023 ACTUAL	FY2023-2024 BUDGET	FY2024-2025 REQUESTED	INC/(DEC)	% OF INC/(DEC)
REVENUES							
61-30-100 TRANSFER FROM OTHER FUNDS	0	133,057	0	0	0	0	0.00%
61-30-200 OUTSIDE LEASE REVENUE	18,000	9,000	9,000	9,000	0	(9,000)	-100.00%
61-30-300 INTERNAL LEASE REVENUE	97,992	173,052	140,071	187,068	216,002	28,934	15.47%
61-30-450 MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	35,376	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
61-30-600 INTEREST EARNED	3,477	4,112	28,316	5,000	20,000	15,000	300.00%
61-30-800 SALE OF FIXED ASSETS	0	39,900	0	20,000	20,000	0	0.00%
TOTAL REVENUES	154,845	359,121	177,387	221,068	256,002	34,934	15.80%
EXPENDITURES							
61-40-400 OUTSIDE LEASE PAYMENTS	18,000	525	0	9,000	0	(9,000)	-100.00%
61-40-428 INTEREST EXPENSE - LEASES	0	1,985	2,458	0	0	Ó	0.00%
61-40-620 ASSET PURCHASES	0	0	38,733	454,000	263,275	(190,725)	-42.01%
61-40-630 RETURN VALUE OF SOLD ASSETS	0	0	0	0	0	Û Û	0.00%
61-40-900 DEPRECIATION	170,249	188,463	260,891	231,000	230,000	(1,000)	-0.43%
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	188,249	190,973	302,082	694,000	493,275	(200,725)	-28.92%
TOTAL MOTOR POOL FUND	(33,404)	168,148	(124,695)	(472,932)	(237,273)	235,659	-49.83%



HARRISVILLE CITY

363 W. Independence Blvd · Harrisville, Utah 84404 · 801-782-4100 www.cityofharrisville.com

MAYOR: Michelle Tait

COUNCIL MEMBERS: Grover Wilhelmsen Steve Weiss Blair Christensen Max Jackson Karen Fawcett

# Staff Memo

Surplus of Admin Vehicle Jeep Renegade August 8, 2024

To:Harrisville Mayor and City CouncilFrom:Jennie Knight, City AdministratorRE:Surplus of Admin Vehicle

# Summary

Harrisville City Staff is requesting authorization to surplus the 2018 Jeep Renegade. The vehicle will either be auctioned or sold at fair market value. The admin team will share a vehicle with public safety to reduce costs associated with maintaining multiple fleet vehicles. Revenue generated from the sale of the vehicle will be collected into the Motor Pool Fund.